

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474966 Mrs Patricia Morgan SA2010525

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type:

Title:

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The village is already over populated and village services i.e. the medical services, school and sewage system cannot cope with more development.

489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb SA2010587

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Concerns about capacity of local facilities: doctors and school too small, sewage system unable to cope.

389643 Mrs Elizabeth Duncan SA2010562

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Development too great for small community.

474236 Mr N Grace SA2010200

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Savills

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Promoting site at Gonerby Moor. Representations already submitted.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381041 Mrs Nena Roberts SA2010198

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Boundaries of sites unclear. Access for The Grange unclear. Existing sewerage and surface water systems are not adequate to cope with additional development.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010574

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Concerns about capacity of school and surgery. Concerns about road safety - roads already dangerous with parked cars.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

489279 Mr Brian Garton SA2010575

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

I object to all the sites nominated because Long Bennington cannot absorb any more properties. The facilities we now have are only just supporting the existing population which I understand is 1800 approx. A further 700 new dwellings which would increase the population by 2,100 (2.4 per house) is not on. Medical, school and drainage are all aspects and reasons, even the smaller amount of 200 new dwellings are overloading the village.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

26291 Mr S Mason SA2010169

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Number

New development should be sited close to schools that either have capacity or can be made larger with S106 funding. Preference is sites that are accessible in terms of getting pupils to and from school. We would prefer development in areas where there is available permanent capacity but reality means most sites do not have this so the S106 process will remain needed.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Other**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26316 Mr Raymond Kilsby SA2010168 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

The W R Davidge Planning
Practice

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Other**

At present (and very unusually so far as we are concerned) we do not have any existing clients with either interests in, or proposals concerning, the area administered by your Council. In these circumstances I am not anticipating that we will be submitting any representations to you over the period of your current public consultation exercise.

432337 Mrs Louise Dale SA2010166 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Ministry of Defence

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The MOD has no safeguarding concerns provided the height criterion is adhered to.

26207 Mr Richard Smith SA2010165 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Central Networks

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

We cannot comment at this stage but when specific sites and proposed works are available we will be able to comment.

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010164 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Natural England

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

We strongly advise that the presence of protected species on any of the suggested sites is fully considered before they are taken any further in the planning process.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010581

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Before further development the infrastructure must be upgrade: sewerage system, school and medical centre.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

406792 Mr James Curtis SA2010150

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

None of the fields around Bourne Wood should be developed on as it would spoil the wood and environment in the whole area. I should have thought there is plenty of land around Bourne without spoiling one of Bourne's biggest assets. Please leave our woods and greenfield sites alone.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

491117 Cllr Paul Wood SA2010684

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Village meeting (160 attended) was of opinion that large sties (+10 properties) should not be approved and only a few small sites should be considered. Recent development has strained infrastructure, 60 permissions awaiting development, flooding is an issue in some areas of village.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490060 Mr & Mrs T & B Pykett SA2010612

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Concerned about heavy traffic using Main Road - and there should be access to the A1 North at the s. end of the village. Further housing would only increase drainage problems.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26094 Ken Pratt SA2010683 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Upper Witham Internal
Drainage Board

Consultation Point

Number

Comments made in relation to: Barkston; Colsterworth; Great Gonerby; Long Bennington; and South Witham

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

490837 Fiona Barney SA2010648 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

Concerns about increased traffic through the village.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490707 Mr Colin Russell SA2010633 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

The proposed developments at Colsterworth and Woolsthorpe, are piecemeal, without vision or flair, and will over stretch the existing facilities and infrastructure, and permanently damage the character of the villages. Woolsthorpe and Colsterworth have minimal facilities as it is; one pub, one post office, one Co-op, one school, all in Colsterworth. Transport, shops, school, sports and playgrounds, broadband, all of these things should be planned first.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490690 Mrs Margaret Winn SA2010632 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

As present the Parish has very few amenities - one pub, one post office, one Co-op shop, one school, one medical practice - development on the proposed scale will completely overwhelm these facilities. It will also destroy the character of our rural setting, which is what we treasure most about living here.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473661 Ms Sally Murray

SA2010154

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Natural England

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Natural England welcomes the opportunity to comment on the Additional Suggested Sites and comments below are made in relation to Natural England's remit. Natural England is working to deliver the following four strategic outcomes: * A healthy natural environment: England's natural environment will be conserved and enhanced. * Enjoyment of the natural environment: more people enjoying, understanding and acting to improve, the natural environment, more often. * Sustainable use of the natural environment: the use and management of the natural environment is more sustainable. * A secure environmental future: decisions which collectively secure the future of the natural environment.

1. General Comments Natural England has raised concerns, as set out below, where the suggested sites are likely to impact on a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI). In addition we are also concerned that a number of the suggested sites are very large and could potentially be out of proportion to the settlement concerned (e.g. Ancaster, Barkston, Long Bennington, Barrowby, Corby Glen, Great Gonerby). We would recommend that housing schemes in rural settlements should only be considered where the impact on the environment and the landscape is deemed to be adverse. Guidance set out in the South Kesteven Landscape Character Assessment should be followed so that proposed development is sited where there will be minimal impact on the character of the settlements and the surrounding countryside. We would also recommend that your authority have regard for the East Midlands Regional Landscape Assessment recently published by ourselves and available on our website. We also recommend the preparation of Village Design Statements which can help to identify areas within and around villages that are particularly important to the local character and where development should be avoided and other areas where development could be considered. We also emphasise the importance of incorporating green infrastructure into all new development. The linking together of new and existing green spaces and with the surrounding countryside can bring benefits to the liveability and attractiveness of a new development; create important green corridors for wildlife and provide a valuable recreational resource for residents. We are unaware at this time to the stage that a Green Infrastructure strategy is within your authority however we would wish to ensure that this document fully informs this and future site allocations and is utilised at the landscape scale to provide GI provision across the district.

2. Habitats Regulations Assessment We note that the policies contained within the South Kesteven District Council Core Strategy DPD have been assessed to determine whether there are likely to be any significant effects arising from them, in accordance with the Habitats Directive. The Habitats Regulation Assessment (HRA) that was carried out concluded that there would be no significant effect on the Natura 2000 sites that fall either within South Kesteven District or close to its boundaries. It is assumed that work will now be in preparation to carry out an HRA report to accompany the Site Allocations Document to follow up the original findings. The Site Allocations will allow a more detailed assessment to be carried out on the impact of the proposed development sites on the Natura 2000 sites. The two Natural 2000 sites in South Kesteven are Baston Fen SAC and Grimesthorpe SAC. The two sites beyond the boundary but within 10-15 km are Rutland Water SPA and RAMSAR and the Barnack Hills and HOles SAC. All of these sites could potentially be affected by the development proposed in the Site Allocations DPD by the cumulative impact of additional population, recreational pressure and increased urban run-off. In particular we are concerned that the Baston Fen SAC is sited close to one of the proposed allocations in the previous round of consultation. The suggested sites of concern are BAST03 and BAST04 which would be within 1 km of the Baston SAC and we would therefore raise concerns regarding the development of these particular sites.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

406799 Mrs Cynthia Curtis SA2010148

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

It seems that no developer wants to build a hotel on land south of Bourne - so why would anyone build one to the north. I think this is just a way of getting those fields into the Local Development Framework plan for 2026 and this will then be changed to housing - which we don't need.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

380802 Mrs Valerie Marrow SA2010396

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Barkston is a small country village. Infrastructure is not in place for the massive development suggested. The local school is full to capacity.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

381266 Mrs Jill Hawkins SA2010146

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Amenities in Barkston -School - oversubscribed with no room for expansion. Postal Service - only twice weekly IF the computers are not "down". Roads - Inadequate. Drains - Inadequate.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

490054 Mr & Mrs G Berrow SA2010608

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

No doubt further thought must be given to any large proposals i.e. Services, schools, transport, drainage, health facilities long before such proposals are approved or even considered.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473472 Dr Matthew Colborn SA2010135

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Objection to the proposed development of part of Bourne wood, which is a highly valued local asset for many local families and an important haven for biodiversity.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489328 Mr & Mrs C & S Devo SA2010592

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns about road safety - noise and narrow roads.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489312 Mr Stewart Patience SA2010591

Consultee Comments (Summary)

**Cambridgeshire County
Council**

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Cambridgeshire County Council has no comments to make on this document.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

489305 Mr & Mrs M Sheldon SA2010590

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Long Bennington has had more than its fair share of housing and the so called facilities are creaking with the increased population. The sewage system does NOT work efficiently and there is danger of floods and back flows after heavy rain. Medical Centre and School are at capacity. The Main Road constantly used by lorries going through the village to and from the Roseland Business Park at speeds often above 30 mph - causing noise, dust and destroying the road surface.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474269 Mr Tim Lees SA2010228 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number
Type: **document**
Title: **Additional Sites Consultation Summer 2010**
SiteReference:
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Concerns about scale of proposed development and impact on character, visual landscaping and infrastructure (especially roads) of the village.

26250 Mr Tom Genway SA2010174 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Ancer Spa
Consultation Point
Number
Type: **document**
Title: **Additional Sites Consultation Summer 2010**
SiteReference:
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site ADD13 (Land South of B676 Bourne Road and West of the A1 trunk road) should also include land separated by the new slip road - to the east of the main site. This additional part of the site is 1.144 hectares in size.

473111 MR Nick Stanbury SA2010302 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number
Type: **document**
Title: **Additional Sites Consultation Summer 2010**
SiteReference:
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact on open outlook on nearby properties, impact on wildlife and adversely affect the Woods. It will also increase traffic on the busy A15/North Road entry into Bourne which is already hazardous and accident prone, particularly at the Dyke and Cawthorpe junctions.

26328 Mr M L Hall SA2010387 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Barkston & Syston Parish Council
Consultation Point
Number
Type: **document**
Title: **Additional Sites Consultation Summer 2010**
SiteReference:
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

PC meeting + public meeting voiced objections to additional proposals. Concerns raised about poor infrastructure (especially road) and high level of development would be detrimental to village character. Realise some development needed and suggest 5% increase in dwellings over the current number would be appropriate, but only on a site which has the least impact on the village.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

260141 Ms Rose Freeman SA2010386

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Theatres Trust

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Due to the specific nature of the Trust's remit we are concerned with the protection and promotion of theatres and it is not part of The Theatres Trust's remit to comment on site allocations except to provide guidance on assessing the best locations for theatre provision in a town which will support and protect sustainable theatre use. The Council should be satisfied that there are venues for cultural activities in the villages and that these have adequate parking facilities.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

381276 Mr & Mrs Peter & Mar SA2010364

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns about loss of village character - development would double size of village. New infrastructure essential: school, shops, post office. Concerns about road safety: Hough Road already a rat-run with difficult access to A607.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

379171 Mrs Pauline Sharpe SA2010363

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Access arrangements not clear. Total number of proposed dwellings is excessive. There is no infrastructure to support such large scale population increase.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

481749 Mr & Mrs D Holden SA2010451

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Total properties 685 - a complete disaster for Long Bennington.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

464013 Mrs Michelle Dewey SA2010336

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

All unacceptable would change character of village due to large scale. What happened to Barkston AND Syston - why all sites in Barkston - landowner has land in syston suitable!!!!

380513 Dr Chris Everett SA2010460

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The land area is such that many hundreds of new homes (at the specified density of 40 per ha) could be built if there is no such intention (over 18 years) why ask for so much land to be so designated? It doesn't make sense/add up!!

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010321

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

LWT is compelled to object to the DPD because it has not been drawn up in the context of a robust and credible evidence base. Some of the sites are adjacent to or within SNCIs. Most SNCI information in South Kesteven is out of date and stems from surveys carried out some time ago: many sites have not been re-visited for more than twenty years. Sites were selected on the basis of local knowledge but were not assessed against any agreed criteria. Unless a site has been surveyed in detail within the last 5 years the information can not be claimed as up-to-date. Until comprehensive up-to-date information is available on SNCIs/LWSs in the area covered by your authority, local development documents will be open to challenge. There is a need for complete surveys of previously identified SNCIs and their assessment by the LWS Panel to determine whether they meet LWS criteria.

379007 Mrs Janet Everett SA2010468

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of these sites and previous sites would be detrimental to a peaceful rural village. Scale of development would put unreasonable burdens on the already overloaded infrastructure and affect the environment.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481853 Mr Brian Curtis SA2010472

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

There has been NO consultation whatsoever about this proposal, and it would have a very detrimental affect on wildlife within Bourne Woods.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

481872 Mr M Sleaford SA2010473

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

This possible site would have an overwhelming effect on the WHOLE of Barrowby. Concerns about road safety - narrow roads, dangerous bends. Concerns about capacity of school, surgery and drainage/sewerage network. Concerns about loss of farming land and impact on landscape. The village still has small pockets of land suitable for infill (10-15) affordable houses. Concerns about loss of sense of community.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

482271 Mr Lynn Thomas SA2010480

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Whilst it is always helpful to improve local services and roads, a village cannot maintain its character and schools and surgery and shops if its population is increased quickly by substantial building plans being approved over a short period of time.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

487954 Mr Dennis Ward SA2010554

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Already planning permission has been granted on a number of sites within the village on which development has not been started but even so there are many properties for sale which are "sticking" on the market and many are being let to people who have no interest in the village. Development is also attracting some city and town dwellers who expect the village to provide amenities found in larger environments which could lead to demand for these which could spoil a village atmosphere.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

483219 Mr & Mrs A & Y Riddi SA2010487

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Whilst we would not object to some development in Long Bennington, we feel that development of large sites would put intolerable pressure on the local infrastructure and change the nature and character of the village. We are already under pressure with regard to schooling, our local surgery and shops and public transport links.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Other**

487931 Mr S P Hearn SA2010547

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Concept Town Planning Ltd

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

The additional suggested sites, in the main, form large, indefensible extensions to the settlement, into open countryside, which would not be reflective of the established pattern of development. It is considered that such sites should not be considered further, until more appropriate, central locations, such as that promoted on behalf of Marstons Plc have been realised and developed first. Therefore, it is considered that the further review documents should omit all of the additional suggested sites, in favour offering continued support to more appropriate locations, such as the land to the rear of the Royal Oak, Main Road (LB05).

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

473111 MR Nick Stanbury SA2010301

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns about impact on open outlook on nearby properties, impact on wildlife and adversely affect the Woods. It will also increase traffic on the busy A15/North Road entry into Bourne which is already hazardous and accident prone, particularly at the Dyke and Cawthorpe junctions.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

484016 Mr A E Tivey SA2010490

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns about size of development, which would double the size of the village. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery. Development would lead to more flooding issues and more problems on the roads.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Other**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

484062 Mr & Mrs T & L Capes SA2010498

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns that two-storey houses would impact on adjacent bungalows. Concerns about capacity of school, surgery, drainage (problems at present) and impact of increased traffic. If more building does have to be done then ADD21 and ADD23 would be sufficient for needs.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475370 SA2010295

Consultee Comments (Summary)

**THE MACDONALD
BUCHANAN TRUSTEES**

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Dispute Deepings completions. Wants a schedule of sites which comprise committed residential development. Wants consultation on assessment methodology for sites - for transparency and clarity. Object to use of 40 houses per hectare being used for capacity calculations - this will overestimate likely provision - want change to 30 houses per hectare.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

26363 Mrs Jane Evans SA2010503

Consultee Comments (Summary)

**Long Bennington Parish
Council**

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Majority of residents are opposed to further development. Village facilities are limited and school and doctors surgery are at capacity. There are flooding issues and road safety concerns. These development could double the village population and change its character. In terms of particular sites our comments are as follows: ADD18/19 - these sites would extend the envelope of the village substantially to the South. They are immediately proximate to the A1, leading to issues of noise and pollution disturbance. There are substantial access issues with these sites. ADD20 - Inappropriate due to the shape and an unproven need. Substantial potential overlooking problems to current adjacent bungalows. Potential flooding problems. ADD24 - Given there is enough land zoned already, this additional site is unnecessary. ADD25 - The size of this suggested site is completely disproportionate and inappropriate for the local area and would present serious traffic issues.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

462743 LARKFLEET HOMES SA2010294

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Dispute Deepings completions. Wants a schedule of sites which comprise committed residential development. Wants consultation on assessment methodology for sites - for transparency and clarity. Object to use of 40 houses per hectare being used for capacity calculations - this will overestimate likely provision - want change to 30 houses per hectare.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

260968 Mr Tim Lee SA2010509

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Stamford Chamber of Trade
and Commerce

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Other**

1. Our Chamber is the democratically organised representative body for those involved in Stamford's trade and commerce. It has about 100 members, from the largest to the smallest employer. 2. By letter dated 3 December 2009 we made extensive representations to the SKDC Site Allocation and Policies DPD Suggested Sites Consultation October 2009. Briefly those representations were as follows: A. If Stamford has to have some development 'allocated' to it by SKDC and/or Rutland, about which we can do little, it seems we Stamfordians have the opportunity to gain a STAMFORD RING ROAD as per out drawing SCT-32 Rev C. Such a STAMFORD RING ROAD would be funded by the private sector via the intelligent location and phased release of such development as may be 'allocated' to Stamford by the respective SKDC and Rutland Local Development Frameworks. The funding mechanism would be the SKDC Stamford Strategic Infrastructure Tariff, and an equivalent Rutland Tariff. Our Chamber considers that the timely delivery of a STAMFORD RING ROAD will best be achieved by accepting development as set out in B and C below, rather than on other sites. B. We support the development of Stamford: i. between the northern edge of Stamford and the suggested Stamford Ring Road's Northern Link, the exact route of which to be agreed between SKDC and Rutland County Council, AND ii. in close proximity to the Ryhall Road Link part of the Ring Road, AND iii. on "brown field" sites within Stamford where the existing user is no longer appropriate. C. To boost the timely delivery of the STAMFORD RING ROAD, our Chamber supports the proposition that all new built developments in Stamford shall be required to contribute to the funding of the STAMFORD RING ROAD, through Strategic Infrastructure Tariffs, instead of a myriad of Section 106 Agreements. 3. Our Chamber believes its December 2009 representations continue to be valid and thus by this letter re-states them in response to this Additional Suggested Sites Consultation of August 2010. 4. Further, our Chamber would advise it is unable to support the allocation of any site within or on the periphery of Stamford the development of which will not contribute directly to the delivery of a STAMFORD RING ROAD. The above representations and objections accord fully with our Chamber's policies as set out in our letter of 27 February 2009 responding to the SKDC LDF Core Strategy DPD Submission Consultation of January 2009, and without responses to other previous Consultations, including those made in respect of the SKDC Site Allocation and Policies DPD Suggested Sites Consultation of October 2009. Our Chamber trusts that your Council will give full weight to these our Chamber's further representations and objections.

474400 Ms Jane Bateman SA2010515

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

I am disappointed that following last year's consultation there is now a further consultation on additional sites. I am totally against the presumption to develop. I am also wary of who has proposed these additional sites and their motives.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

485451 Mr Neil Denison SA2010524 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

WYG Planning & Design

Consultation Point

Number

Interest by Aldi in presence in Stamford.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

414255 Mrs Susan Evans SA2010536 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

A small number of new houses in the village is acceptable. However, there are concerns about capacity of local facilities: school is oversubscribed, local doctors practices are full, out-reach PO and only small shop at garage. There are also road safety issues.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

416195 Mr Andrew Lane SA2010542 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

Extensive development will destroy the village this and close community spirit. Why no proposals to develop Syston village. None of the sites suggested have previously been developed. They are green fields in a rural community, which will not reduce the need to travel in any way.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

487916 Mrs R F King SA2010545 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number

The increased number of children cannot possibly be accommodated at the local school, which is at full capacity now. Traffic outside the school causes problems on Main Street, and can only get worse with an increased risk of accidents. Local shops, Post Office and doctors surgery will also be affected.

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381239 Mr Peter Hawkins SA2010140

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Before any residential development occurs it would be helpful to see the Local Authority plans to provide the necessary infrastructure to service it. Comparing Barkston with the Manthorpe estate I know why I prefer the village.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

473111 MR Nick Stanbury SA2010303

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Concerns about impact on open outlook on nearby properties, impact on wildlife and adversely affect the Woods. It will also increase traffic on the busy A15/North Road entry into Bourne which is already hazardous and accident prone, particularly at the Dyke and Cawthorpe junctions.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Object**

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010775

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Set out a broad assessment for sites with potential for over 100 dwellings (or where clusters have cumulative capacity of over 100 dwellings), or where employment sites are over 5 hectares. A number of locations may cause impacts on SRN and should be investigated further: Stamford, Barrowby, Colsterworth, Harlaxton and Long Bennington.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

26231 Mr D Bainbridge SA2010729

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Bidwells

Consultation Point

Number

Type: **document**

Title: **Additional Sites Consultation
Summer 2010**

Request explanation of housing figures. Request methodology on assessment work is consulted on. Object to calculation of capacity from sites being on basis of 40 houses per hectare - more appropriate figure would be 30.

SiteReference:

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26154 Mrs N Jacobs SA2010170 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Bourne Town Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD33**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD33**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

Approved for the Tesco store has already been given. Bourne Town Council considers this to be the correct site for such development.

406803 Mrs Ayla Smith SA2010354 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD33**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD33**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Tesco's is a huge business empire and already has a toe-hold in Bourne through its convenience store. I believe that the former Opico site was destined for 3 outlets and, on that basis, that the new store should occupy a much smaller area, be heavily landscaped around the margins, and a petrol station incorporated.

26169 Ms J Young SA201025 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD33**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD33**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Archaeological trial trenching and now recording is being undertaken. No further archaeological work will be required.

474667 Mr Terence Francis SA2010235 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The traffic that this will bring with it will also undoubtedly cause major disruption to this area.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475296	Mr Ben Moody	SA2010735	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		What need is there for a nature park on the edge of long-established woodland? Conference centre facilities exist nearby. Any initial development now will make future development easier to justify and, in time, what is now a tranquil habitat for wildlife and a place of quiet for people becomes devoid of wildlife and incapable of fulfilling the original purpose.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
474374	Mrs Lorraine Curtis	SA2010207	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		No-one seriously believes this is actually about building a leisure park for Bourne - that's a joke! It's about developers getting this fantastic part of the Bourne area designated for development so they can make money. It is outrageous that there has been minimal publicity and consultation about this site going into the development plan . To me this suggests maladministration and that this whole thing has been sneaked in through the back door. I am sure that if this proposal does go into the development plan that the whole of the Bourne area will be mobilised in making sure this goes no further
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
474375	Miss Rosie Curtis	SA2010208	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		This proposed leisure park development is not needed or wanted and if it goes into the plan will lead to the destruction of this lovely side of Bourne
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
474347	Miss Sophie Rogers	SA2010209	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		In my opinion, there is nothing positive to be gained from using this land for this purpose. Considering there has been a campaign for a skate park in Bourne over the past years and the funding and land for this has not been found it seems highly unlikely that this large scale project stands any chance of being taken seriously. The plans are very basic badly researched and i find it incredible that you are even considering this proposition.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
473322	mr dane harbinson	SA2010211	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		destruction of bourne woods/ cawthorpe
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

495309 Miss Vicky Martin SA2010736

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I wish to OBJECT in the strongest possible manner. Any kind of building on this natural and beautiful land is outrageous. The planned leisure facilities mirror many already found at Tallington. The peaceful countryside will be disturbed and polluted by the increase of people, their litter, vehicles etc.

474378 Miss Charlotte Roger SA2010213

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This plan is obviously not viable, will give no benefit whatsoever to the local area or communities and in fact would only have a negative and disruptive impact. I therefore feel that these plans should be cancelled immediately.

474416 Kane SA2010214

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to the inclusion of the area denoted as 'ADD34' to the Northwest of Bourne, bounded by the A15, Cawthorpe and Bourne Woods. I believe that SKDC has failed in its duties to properly notify the local populace; in addition I believe the proposal would significantly detract from what is already a significant nature area (i.e. Bourne Woods) and also lead to a dangerous situation with regard to traffic on the A15.

474270 Mr Matthew Rogers SA2010204

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

There is not ONE single positive point to this ridiculous plan, clearly from the documentation available, there has been no serious thought or research gone into this proposal.

474623 Adrian Smith SA2010230

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The Len Pick Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

No accompanying documentation re: detailed design, environmental impact, layout, funding proposals and ongoing management. Without such documentation this proposal should be strongly resisted. It would provide a blank canvas for developments that would be detrimental to quality of landscape and environment. Trustees consider a proposal of such magnitude is inconsistent with its aims and would be unable to make their land holding available for this particular purpose.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474679 Mr John Fortescue Ar SA2010236

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The scheme would destroy the greenbelt, destroy landscape and views of the ancient woodland. Taxpayers money would be better spent elsewhere. The benefits of the stewardship scheme would be lost.

372262 Dr David Sheppard SA2010239

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The Friends of Bourne Woods objects to development in this area as presented in ADD34.

474770 Mrs Nicola Fowler SA2010241

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

In summary, the proposed development should not receive consent for all of the above detailed reasons given, including, but not limited to, noise pollution, light pollution, inappropriate site with negative affect visually for Bourne, Bourne Woods and the historic hamlet of Cawthorpe and substantial increase in traffic from and onto the A15 causing huge safety risks.

474679 Mr John Fortescue Ar SA2010247

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Its green belt - protect it. It pollutes- parking lots, noise,rubbish.. Wild life would suffer. Set a precedent for development Loss of amenity from Bourne Wood

406789 Mr & Mrs G O & M E SA2010741

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The comments I previously made still hold good. It would seem that there is a determined effort being made to exploit this area adjacent to the wood. We already have a nature park, the existing wood and surrounding area.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474972 Mr Chris Searl SA2010255 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474878 Mr Barry Smithson SA2010265 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474413 Mr Mark Beardsmore SA2010266 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474972 Mr Chris Searl SA2010270 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

372752 Mr Ralph Bradley SA2010223 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I strongly object to this unrealistic proposal, to de-classify a beautiful green site for this purpose is criminal, agricultural land should be just that , this is a huge area that you are looking at developing and I believe it is worth fighting to keep it, as it is. When you are lucky enough to have such beautiful countryside on your door step why would you want to destroy it ?

This proposal is commercially unviable and totally unrealistic. Identifying this site for any form of planning whatsoever threatens the natural balance in an area of natural beauty and any thought of developing the area at all should be totally dismissed.

Concerns about danger to wildlife and road safety (A15). Creation of lake will interfere with natural drainage. Unlikely ski slope to be commercially viable. Empty Holiday Chalets will become eyesores and attract squatters.

I find it incredible that anyone could suggest this area for a leisure park. The Bourne Woods is suitable enough in itself without any frills as a recreational area. It's also vital that the land bordering the Bourne Woods and the approaches to it from the east are kept tranquil and undeveloped for both wildlife and walkers alike.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475696 Mrs Jackie Searl SA2010723

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Having viewed the revised plan, I can only re-iterate my previous comments. I am opposed to the proposed development feeling that a hotel and leisure facilities of the sort outlined would be detrimental to the town of Bourne.

474667 Mr Terence Francis SA2010716

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I fail to understand why a Nature Park is included when we have Bourne Wood. There is also the prospect of increased traffic on the A15 road right through the town centre. No proposal should be considered until there is a relief road to take traffic away from the town centre.

473111 MR Nick Stanbury SA2010131

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object strongly to any proposed development between Bourne and Bourne Woods for the reasons identified above.

473125 Mr Mark Tupholme SA2010132

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I feel the idea of leisure park is ridiculous and unnecessary to the town, and poses a real risk from traffic and animal habitat destruction.

490943 Mrs Angela Francis SA2010717

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Thank you for your updates on the Bourne woods nature park facility. Please correct me if I'm wrong but isn't this a nature reserve of sorts already, so why do we want another one, particularly with a hotel attached.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

413457 Mrs Christine Swann SA2010718

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Still totally opposed to any development on this land, whether it be for leisure purposes or housing. Should remain as agricultural land.

480823 Mrs C J S Draycott SA2010719

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The development proposed will increase the number of people in that area which will have a negative impact on wildlife in the area. I strongly feel that this area should be left as agricultural land.

481737 Mr Frank Rylott SA2010720

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

My original objections remain. Additionally, the disclosure that a hotel/conference centre is proposed further heightens my objections as the adverse impact on the environment would be even greater. The siting is crucial to any consideration of the application and should be stated. This is much more important than the car park - which is shown on the plan.

467631 Mr David Pask SA2010721

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This suggestion is some sort of spoiling action to impede the future building of a North West relief road/bypass. Bourne urgently needs a relief road/bypass to the North West, connecting to the existing South West relief road. This piece of land is the obvious (if only) route. Nothing should be approved which will jeopardise the construction of such a road in the future.

406584 Mr Robert Cole SA2010734

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

No evidence that such development would improve economy/employment prospects of town. Loss of agricultural land. Bourne already has a beautiful but underused park, almost in the centre of town. Effort should be made on improving facilities there.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

406799	Mrs Cynthia Curtis	SA2010147	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	I think the fields near the wood should be left as they are to protect the wildlife and the environment. Bourne Wood is ancient woodland and we need to protect it for future generations.
491067	Mr K S Riley	SA2010742	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	Reduction in site area has done nothing to alleviate concerns of resident nor their joint and several objections to the proposals. In fact the reduction in site area has made the proposals even more unacceptable.
406792	Mr James Curtis	SA2010149	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	Concerns about loss of green field sites. Chalybeate Well & Bourne Wood (ancient woodland) must be protected.
474623	Adrian Smith The Len Pick Trust	SA2010724	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	No detailed design. The suggested designation would, if approved, provide a blank canvas for a wide range of leisure and associated developments that would be detrimental to the quality of the landscape and environment. Trust will not make land available for this proposal.
490999	Mr James Measures	SA2010725	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	Objections reiterated. None of the amendments address points of objection. Indeed we now note a detached car park area adjacent the A15 has been included which rather begs the question "what is supposed to happen to the land between the proposed car park and the nature park block itself?"

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

491011 Mr Colin Greetham SA2010726

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Objections reiterated. None of the amendments address points of objection. Indeed we now note a detached car park area adjacent the A15 has been included which rather begs the question "what is supposed to happen to the land between the proposed car park and the nature park block itself?" The land is not available.

480827 S G Knipe SA2010727

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If anything the latest proposal is even more ridiculous than the previous one bearing in mind there is now a separate detached car park area at the end of our farm roadway next to the A15. It does rather beg the question as to what is supposed to happen to the land in between.

472619 Mr Timothy Bladon SA2010728

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerned about the location of the car park, in particular the access/egress will coincide with the acceleration zone immediately after the end of 30 mph limit on North Road. No provision for members of the public to access the Nature Park from the car park.

26154 Mrs N Jacobs SA2010171

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Bourne Town Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

Bourne Town Council considers the area near Bourne Woods to be suited for recreational/leisure purposes. Bourne Town Council would like to ensure that any leisure facilities on this site would cater especially for young people. Bourne Town Council would not want to see a lot of buildings on this site. Any building on this site should be ecologically compatible.

475311 mr andrew danylew SA2010275

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Same facilities exist at Tallington (within 10miles of Bourne). A15 is accident black spot, why add to the problems. There will be adverse effect on wildlife in the woods. The woods are strong attraction for visitors to Bourne. Where will funding come from?

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475706	Miss Clare Sterling Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust	SA2010733	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Observations	Adj SNCI and ancient woodland. Suggested nature park should bring biodiversity benefits and not have significant impacts on ancient woodland, provided that visitor numbers can be controlled. Would expect ecological study to be carried out prior to allocation.
26189	Mrs S Roberts	SA2010722	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	The revision of the boundary makes no difference to my original objection, as it still is adjacent to ancient woodland. All my previous comments still stand. In addition I am concerned about the access onto the site being from the busy A15, an LCC designated red route.
406584	Mr Robert Cole	SA2010352	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	No hard evidence to prove a leisure park would benefit the town. Development would seriously affect wildlife and deprive town of a vital "green lung".
481848	Mrs Frances M Curtis	SA2010470	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	Bourne Woods is Bourne's main attraction. Once site becomes part of LDF it would be available for building more houses. There has been no consultation on the need for a leisure park. Concerns about road safety from A15, the effect on Cawthorpe and the loss of agricultural land.
481838	Mr John Connell	SA2010469	Consultee Comments (Summary) Consultation Point Number ADD34 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD34 Nature Of Response: Object	There has been NO referendum, vote or survey regarding the need for this park (at any location in the town). There is NO public evaluation of alternative sites.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

467631	Mr David Pask	SA201024	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			A development in this area must not be allowed to compromise the construction of the much needed relief road West to North. Or to require that the route of such a relief road impinges into Bourne woods!! A development of this nature is not required.
Number ADD34			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD34			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
464335	Mr Jon Hobson	SA201020	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Bourne Wood is one of our greatest assets and should be protected. The council should look at other woods across the country and see how they are promoting and protecting their woodland to the benefit of all.
Number ADD34			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD34			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
475706	Miss Clare Sterling	SA2010322	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust			Site is adjacent to Bourne Wood SNCI & Ancient Woodland. Recommend no development within at least 20m of Bourne Wood. Would expect ecological survey to be carried out to determine whether site contains important or protected habitats or species. (Sec 40 of Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006)
Consultation Point			
Number ADD34			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD34			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
464129	Mr David Bower	SA20109	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Support for sports use as Bourne needs extra facilities.
Number ADD34			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD34			
Nature Of Response: Other			
<hr/>			
476301	Mrs Janet Bard	SA2010349	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Bourne Woods must be left just as it is for everyone to enjoy. It provides vital outdoor leisure space and is full of wildlife that deserves to be protected. The surrounding fields are very important as they provide us with crops and this will be even more important in the future as the increasing population will always need to eat.
Number ADD34			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD34			
Nature Of Response: Object			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481737 Mr Frank Rylott SA2010447

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

1. Loss of the buffer zone along the eastern side of Bourne ancient woods, impinging on a) wildlife habitat and b) public enjoyment of the tranquillity. 2. Detrimental effect upon residents and values of properties facing the proposed development.

481838 Mr John Connell SA2010743

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Suggested use appears to be a blatant misrepresentation of the intentions of the proposer, (clear that the underlying motive is development of a hotel or conference centre; this site chosen to attempt to avoid any planning gain for an eastern bypass, associated with other possible locations).

473472 Dr Matthew Colborn SA2010351

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development would irreversibly damage ancient and beautiful place. The wood is an asset to the local town, extensively used and enjoyed as a local beauty spot. Area is an important haven for wildlife and local community would be poorer without it.

474972 Mr Chris Searl SA2010311

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Whilst a further community recreational area is to be welcomed it should be for the benefit of the local community and not for the occasional outside visitor.

480884 Sue Pinkney SA2010443

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Bourne is lacking in leisure facilities but adjacent to Bourne Woods is not the location for a leisure park. It would destroy the wildlife and quiet area. Similar facilities already exist at Tallington Lakes.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

406803 Mrs Ayla Smith SA2010353 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

463274 mr ivan fuller SA20107 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

480827 S G Knipe SA2010433 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

480823 Mrs C J S Draycott SA2010432 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

26210 Dr R A Fuller SA2010377 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Bourne Civic Society
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

463219 Dr David Smith SA20106

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

A ridiculous proposal with no foundation other than greed on behalf of the land owner(s).

480784 Mrs Josephine Barwe SA2010420

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Bourne Forest is ancient woodland, there is not much forests left now, and too much housing it should be left alone for future generations to enjoy. What on earth do we need a Leisure Park for this is open countryside and it should stay that way. In these depressing times the money that it would cost would be better spent elsewhere.

479167 Mrs Julie Taylor SA2010418

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The deer use this land to graze upon. The proposed development will have a detrimental effect on all wildlife. The proximity to Bourne Wood is totally unacceptable and Bourne does not need a leisure park since there are ample leisure facilities at Tallington Leisure Park which is only 8 miles away.

476305 Mrs Angela Bratcher SA2010350

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The proposed development would spoil a beautiful area. Bourne Woods and its surrounding fields must be preserved for future generations. Bourne is a rural market town and should not be overdeveloped.

474687 Mr Peter Sharpe SA2010299

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is deliberate attempt to undermine and bypass the planning regulations

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475296 Mr Ben Moody SA2010274 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474372 Mr Tim Curtis SA2010205 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

475323 mr john wilcockson SA2010283 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474411 Miss Bethany Beards SA2010284 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474412 Miss Neave Beardsm SA2010285 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

495309 Miss Vicky Martin SA2010744

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Object to ANY development on this agricultural land: traffic impact, noise and litter. The area is a wonderful place for walkers, runners, cyclists, horse riders and dog walkers and this project would completely ruin those enjoyable and very healthy activities!

26154 Mrs N Jacobs SA2010745

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Bourne Town Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Following some further consideration the council has resolved that it would like to see the land retained as agricultural land.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010746

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (no rail connections / limited bus services, but local services within walking/cycling distance). Site approx 10 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

484082 Ms Ann Marshall SA2010502

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The Woodland Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

ADD14 is adjacent to woodland at Twyford Forest near Colsterworth and is listed as Plantation on Ancient Woodland (PAWS) on the Natural England inventory. ADD34 is adjacent to woodland at Bourne Wood (Bourne/Fox/Pillow Woods) near Bourne which is listed on Natural England's inventory as partly Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland (ASNW) and partly PAWS. There should be a buffer zone between any development and the woodlands.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481853	Mr Brian Curtis	SA2010471	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		I consider that there is no need for a Leisure Park in Bourne. In my opinion Bourne Woods is in itself a (national) Leisure Park. The facilities that the proposed Leisure Park would offer are already available within reasonable commuting distance from Bourne i.e. Tallington, Long Meadows and Langtoft.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
475385	mr brian peters	SA2010296	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		Accept some development necessary, but not on agricultural land. Any leisure development should not impact on environment adjacent to Bourne Wood.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Support with conditions		
<hr/>			
475696	Mrs Jackie Searl	SA2010314	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		I urge the Council to consider very carefully before destroying for ever the peaceful environment around this small, ancient market town.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
473111	MR Nick Stanbury	SA2010300	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		Concerns about impact on open outlook on nearby properties, impact on wildlife and adversely affect the Woods. It will also increase traffic on the busy A15/North Road entry into Bourne which is already hazardous and accident prone, particularly at the Dyke and Cawthorpe junctions.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
484038	Mr T J Davidson	SA2010494	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD34		The land at present provides a natural buffer between housing and nature, and is already used to a limited extent for leisure purposes where footpaths traverse the fields to the woods. Creating such an area on the outskirts of the town instead of developing and invigorating the facilities already available within it will lead to further stagnation of the centre. This leisure park would never materialise - no one in their right mind would ever plough money in to such a scheme in this locality, and one trusts in the integrity of the Council to recognise as much and reject the application out of hand. If the Council is unable to make such an obvious decision then the very least it should do it embark upon a detailed and widespread consultation process in the Bourne area to take heed of what the people of Bourne really think of this hair brained application.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD34		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

484025 Mrs P Davidson SA2010493

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

The development is not needed or wanted, and nor I believe would ever materialise as described, i.e. never as a leisure park. Concerns about loss of farm land, impact on Cawthorpe and residences to the west of Bourne, as well as impact of increased traffic.

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475645 Mrs Suzannah Barnes SA2010305

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

Any development adjacent to the ancient woodlands would cause disturbance to the wildlife and habitats within the woodlands. I do not believe that the facilities proposed are necessary as they currently are provided just a few miles away. The number of visitors that would be required to make this a valid business case would have a huge impact on the volume of traffic using the A15

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475645 Mrs Suzannah Barnes SA2010306

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

Any development adjacent to the ancient woodlands would cause disturbance to the wildlife and habitats within the woodlands. I do not believe that the facilities proposed are necessary as they currently are provided just a few miles away. The number of visitors that would be required to make this a valid business case would have a huge impact on the volume of traffic using the A15

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475645 Mrs Suzannah Barnes SA2010307

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

The proposal would have affect the woodland and add to the traffic problems on the A15

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475645 Mrs Suzannah Barnes SA2010308

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

Would have a negative impact on the ancient woodlands and add to traffic currently using the A15. There would not be enough usage of the facilities by the locals to warrant the development as the facilities are already provided at Tallington Lakes. The development would end up not going through and then lead to residential development instead!!

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475645 Mrs Suzannah Barnes SA2010309

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is just a ruse to push through a housing development at a later date. The current proposal would have a negative impact on the woodlands through disturbance and cut off the town from the woods whilst increasing the traffic on the A15 red route.

473125 Mr Mark Tupholme SA2010715

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If the area were to be deemed a pure nature reserve, guaranteed never to be built on in any way then I would be happy, but these documents are vague and are not clearly defining the use of the area for the years to come.

484073 Mrs Irene Jones SA2010499

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Upset of wildlife in Bourne Woods. Noise factor for property backing onto site.

490945 Mr Clive Powell SA2010660

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The "information" i.e. "Pleasure Park" is not sufficient to support this proposal. Any development on this proposed site must have an adverse effect on Bourne Wood. Development may affect our dykes with the possibility of flooding the surrounding properties.

491033 Mrs Pauline Knowles SA2010669

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to any further development in Bourne, especially if Bourne Woods were to be affected. We have little enough 'green areas' left and considering all the houses being built in this small town, please leave us some natural amenities to be enjoyed by future generations.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473538 Mr Derek Morgan SA2010190

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: Noise and light pollution; Traffic access; Destruction of wildlife and a rural community; Too much local competition eg Tallington; and Destruction of historic hamlet of Cawthorpe

474687 Mr Peter Sharpe SA2010622

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Unwarranted intrusion upon Bourne's major asset and a direct threat to wildlife. The fields around the perimeter are as an essential part of their environment as the wooded land itself. Obviously a cynical attempt to create a demand for a road to be constructed from the south. Previous plans for a bypass along this route have proved unpopular with Bourne residents because of the proximity of the woods.

491011 Mr Colin Greetham SA2010665

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The scale of the proposal is completely inappropriate and unrealistic for this location and for the town of Bourne. Will have adverse impact on Bourne Woods. Landowner will not make land available.

472703 MR Stewart Chester SA2010125

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Leisure park not needed and would have adverse effect on the wood.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473661 Ms Sally Murray	SA2010161	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Natural England		
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		This site is immediately adjacent to Bourne Woods which is an ancient woodland managed by the Forestry Commission. It is a mixed conifer and semi-natural broadleaf woodland restored under an ancient woodland project. It is therefore an important site for nature conservation and an important feature in the local landscape. In addition because of its close proximity to the town of Bourne it is also a valuable area for countryside recreation and has facilities for parking and picnicking. It also has a "green gym" which contributes to the health and well being of local residents. It is unclear from the information provided exactly what will be involved in the development of this site as a "Leisure Park". If it involves built development and new infrastructure Natural England would have concerns that this may have on the ecology of this site. However if the Leisure Park is a low key development providing additional facilities for outdoor recreation and contributing to the green infrastructure of the area we would be supportive of the proposal.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Observations		
<hr/>		
474345 Mrs Melanie Rogers	SA2010206	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		There clearly has been no thought or serious research into the implications of this proposal and I am astonished that such a basic and ill thought out idea has actually received so much of your attention and tax payers money in what is clearly a completely unfinancially viable idea. Having considered this proposal as far as I can ascertain there is not a single positive point with which to enable this idea to go any further, only negative points which will be detrimental to the surrounding community and natural resources.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
471746 Mr Christopher Holto	SA2010104	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		Encroachment of the wood is completely rejected in any form and will impact a large number of people adversely and continuously.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
473851 Mr Paul Smith	SA2010191	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		leave Bourne woods alone
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Observations		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

406789 Mr & Mrs G O & M E SA2010661

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The area of Bourne Wood and its environment is one of the last bastions of that identity and should be defended against development of any kind, for future generations to enjoy.

473858 MR & MRS TIM & GLE SA2010189

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Leisure Park Discussion

490943 Mrs Angela Francis SA2010659

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This proposed leisure park will cause traffic problems to a market town such as Bourne. Noise, litter and increased human traffic through the woods will be very detrimental to these beautiful woods. As a community we try, along with the Friends of the Woods and the Rangers to care for and maintain the woods, its wildlife and all who use them. Don't ruin them.

490940 Mr Nick Webster SA2010658

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Websters Chartered Surveyors

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I can confirm that this land will not be released for the proposed use and as such is unavailable. It would render the Site Allocation DPD unsound were this to be allocated for the suggested purpose as it would fail the relevant test of PPS12 and therefore render the DPD ineffective.

490867 Mrs Tina West SA2010657

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerned about the impact on Bourne Woods, and loss of farmland. Once development allowed beyond the boundaries of Bourne it paves the way for urban sprawl to creep further out towards the villages of Cawthorpe and on to Morton and Hanthorpe.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490860 Mr Bob Jones SA2010656 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

I'm concerned about effect it will have on my property in terms of value, noise and light pollution, the increase in traffic and the damage to local ecological system.

473535 Mrs Rosamund Colbo SA2010151 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Save Bourne Wood as it is now, erosion will disturb the habitat of the important species to be found therein.

413525 Ms Lia Clayton SA201089 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Bourne Woods is an ancient woodland which is the habitat for a variety of important flora and fauna, as well as a popular and well used leisure facility for the people of Bourne and surrounding area. It is a site which should be receiving funding to preserve it, rather than being seen as a possible development area. There are many other sites in the locality which could be used without losing any important facilities. I urge you to remove this proposed site from your plans with immediate effect and leave the woods as the heritage they are for future generations.

471045 Ms Gill Thompson SA201090 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Objection to proposal based on grounds of replication, need and lack of transparent business model

471044 Mr Richard Collins SA201091 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD34**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD34**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

There appears to be no evidence for either a demand for this development, or for a need for it locally. The impact on the land and the wellbeing of local people outweigh the need for this development site

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

372871 Dr Richard Stitson SA201092

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I believe strongly that the best use for at least some of the land NW of Bourne, between the woods and current housing would be a second stretch of bypass running northwards from the current roundabout to join the A15 just north of the town.

490999 Mr James Measures SA2010663

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Absence of any details in support of the proposal. The scale of the proposal is completely inappropriate and unrealistic for this location and for the town of Bourne. Will have adverse impact on Bourne Woods. Landowner will not make land available.

491067 Mr K S Riley SA2010674

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Complains about procedure and objects to site being developed.

413462 Mr Peter Honniball SA2010401

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I don't object to quiet parkland open for the use of the local population but I fear "leisure park" could be interpreted to allow lots of new buildings and new roads.

470785 Miss Esme Redshaw SA201077

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The woods as they stand are a great asset to our town, and are enjoyed by many people. Bourne is in no need of another leisure park, and I feel that an addition such as this would be detrimental to all involved. Thank you for your time.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

370204 Mrs Roberts	SA201078	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		The proposal is completely unnecessary and not welcomed. We have perfectly adequate leisure facilities in Bourne and the surrounding area without using land that is currently in better use.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
470793 Mrs Susan Redshaw	SA201079	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		I strobgly object to this idea. This area needs to be maintained as farmland to protect the wildlife in the woods and therefore the only natural amenity the people of Bourne can enjoy.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
472619 Mr Timothy Bladon	SA2010124	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		Support is for leisure use only and not for residential purposes because of the existence of a cordon sanitaire as referred to in the Local Plan policy H.3 as amended. This amendment in the Local Plan has not properly described the fact that the 400 metre dimension refers NOT to the distance from the intensive livestock unit but from the boundary of that site.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Support with conditions		
<hr/>		
470802 MRS JOANNE WRIGH	SA201080	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		Development would have negative impact on wildlife (deer, squirrels and various birds) in the area. Public footpaths are regularly used. Development would be better placed to the south east of Bourne rather than adjacent to ancient woodland. Concerns about increase in litter, noise and crime to nearby residential area.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
413457 Mrs Christine Swann	SA2010193	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		Site should not be developed - it should remain as agricultural land. Site also contains ancient burial site which should be left alone. Similar facilities are available nearby at Tallington.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

478831 Mrs Mary Boughey	SA2010400	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		"Leisure Park" is an imprecise description. My concerns are proximity to Bourne Woods and affect of wildlife. Any development should be sited well away from the woodland margin, probably by half the width of the fields at the s/w end of the area, and even further away at the wider part. Anything really noisy should preferably not be there at all, as it would affect half of Bourne, let alone the woodland wildlife.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
474381 Mrs Dallas Rose	SA2010398	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response:		
<hr/>		
473111 MR Nick Stanbury	SA2010397	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		This development will significantly affect the outlook of properties in Cawthorpe and on the northern outskirts of Bourne. It will have a significant impact on local fauna. The proposed development will have a significant impact on the privacy of properties nearby and will impact on public enjoyment of Bourne Woods and increase traffic on the A15 approach to Bourne (already dangerous and accident prone).
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
491064 Mrs Carolyn Godfrey	SA2010673	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		Bourne Woods are surely rated as Bourne's best asset and amenity. Any plan to affect this ancient woodland in any way, shape or form is preposterous.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
491062 Mr Walter Godfrey	SA2010672	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD34		I object strongly to the proposal because of the proximity to Bourne Woods - already a valuable leisure area - unspoilt. It is not stated what the Council mean by "Leisure" and who is going to raise the money to buy this large amount of land?
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD34		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

491060 Mrs Sarah Godfrey SA2010671

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If leisure designation were given it is too easy to redefine it to mass housing development. Either would have detrimental effects on ancient woodland of Bourne Woods and also the hamlet of Cawthorpe. There are already traffic and access problems which would worsen.

26169 Ms J Young SA201026

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Roman road King Street crosses site (HER 33097); Chalybeate Well; small portion of site evaluated in the form of deskbased assessment and fieldwalking. The whole site will require archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application.

491036 Mr Christopher Godfr SA2010670

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD34**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD34**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If leisure designation were given it is too easy to redefine it to mass housing development. Either would have detrimental effects on ancient woodland of Bourne Woods and also the hamlet of Cawthorpe. There are already traffic and access problems which would worsen.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010688

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD35**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD35**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This seems an inappropriate development in an area of predominantly open countryside.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26191 Mr S Pywell SA201093 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Welland & Deepings IDB

Consultation Point

Number **ADD35**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD35**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The Board's Back Lane surface water dyke lies at the northern end of the site. The Board's consent would be required for any crossings and no development would be permitted within 9 metres of the top edge of the channel. Surface water runoff would need to be attenuated to greenfield rates of 1.4 litres / second / hectare or taken to a sustainable drainage system.

470519 Mr Colin Gamble SA201076 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD35**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD35**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Only remaining Green Lane in Deeping St James. Development would set precedent for all remaining paddocks to rear of houses in Eastgate. Concerns about access and increase in traffic.

26169 Ms J Young SA201027 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD35**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD35**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

SM 179 Roman site of Priors Meadow 600m to east; Roman, medieval and post-medieval finds recorded 150m to northeast. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

469351 Mr S Ashby SA201069 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Deeping St James Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD35**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD35**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This proposal would alter the character of Back Lane completely, and is out of keeping in this rural setting. Deeping St James Parish Council has long sought to preserve its unique characteristics, and vigorously opposes all and any attempts to fundamentally change Back Lane.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26191 Mr S Pywell SA201094 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Welland & Deepings IDB

Consultation Point

Number **ADD36**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD36**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

This site is bounded by Sheepskin Hall Drain on its northern side and bisected by Hallmeadow Drain system in the south east. Board's byelaws apply to these watercourses and no structures, landscaping etc should be placed within 9 metres of the top edge without the Board's consent. Consent will also be required if it is proposed to alter, culvert etc any dyke on the site including riparian owned ones. The Hallmeadow Drain provides the outfall for a large area of Deeping St James and it is important its integrity is not affected by any proposals. Access will be required by mechanical machinery to maintain and if necessary improve the systems and material removed will be deposited adjacent to the channel to decompose. This may raise issues if the area is used as a country park. The Hallmeadow Drain discharges and conveys freshwater into the Cross Drain a SSSI site. It is important any use of the site does not impact or contaminate ground or surface water runoff. Attenuated surface water runoff will be consented to the Board's Drains.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010689 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD36**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD36**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is substantially away from the built form of the Deepings where there is other more suitable land available.

469351 Mr S Ashby SA2010329 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Deeping St James Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD36**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD36**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Now that the public consultation period is coming to a close, Deeping St James Parish Council would like to underline our wish to see the areas DEEP 03, DEEP 04, DEEP 05 and DEEP 06 preserved for the vital purpose of providing a large area of public open space incorporating a lake and woodland. You will know that this very large and now rapidly expanding community offers its residents almost nothing in the way of natural landscaping. This, therefore, is almost certainly the last opportunity to make this provision and should not be missed.

26190 Julie Banks SA2010653 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Deeping St James United Charities

Consultation Point

Number **ADD36**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD36**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I understand the Deeping St James Parish Council supports a park or amenity use and my trustees wish me also to register their support for the idea of a substantial community park or amenity area, particularly in that location.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010680 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Lincolnshire County Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD36** Affected by Deeping St James Public Footpath Nos. 2 and 5.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD36**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

254819 Mr Jacob Newby SA2010527 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Environment Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD36** This site partly falls within an area at risk of flooding.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD36**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

26169 Ms J Young SA201028 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD36** Various settlement sites indicated by Roman artefacts and cropmarks (HER 35351, 34054, 34055, 34056), prehistoric artefacts (HER 35429), medieval artefacts (HER 36711), post medieval artefacts (HER 35414, 35412, 35413). SM 20811 450m to the east of Iron Age and Roman settlement and saltern Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required. This will be dependent on the proposals.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD36**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010155 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Natural England
Consultation Point
Number **ADD36** The proposal for this suggested site is for an Open Space or Country Park. In general we would welcome a proposal for such a use as it will increase opportunities for access to the countryside and increase the network of green infrastructure. We would also suggest that every opportunity should be taken to increase the biodiversity in the area. We note that that the Deeping Gravel Pit SSSI is closely situated and that there may be opportunities to link the suggested site with the SSSI with a green corridor which would increase the opportunities for wildlife to migrate.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD36**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

462743 LARKFLEET HOMES SA2010293

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD37**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD37**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site is identical to ADD37. Site has resolution to approve subject to S106 and conditions, one of which is a maximum of 120 dwellings. Request a change in capacity to 120 as per O/L application S10/0934.

26191 Mr S Pywell SA201095

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Welland & Deepings IDB

Consultation Point

Number **ADD37**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD37**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The site is bounded on the Town Gate East side by the Board's No. 21 Drain. Board's byelaws apply to this watercourse and no structures, landscaping etc should be placed within 9 metres of the top edge without the Board's consent. Consent will also be required if it is proposed to alter, culvert etc any dyke on the site including riparian owned ones. It is important its integrity is not affected by any proposals. Access will be required by mechanical machinery to maintain and if necessary improve the system and material removed will be deposited adjacent to the channel to decompose. Attenuated surface water runoff may be discharged to the watercourse. Surface water runoff from this area eventually reaches the Counter Drain, a designated Special Area of Conservation. It is important that safeguards are in place to ensure ground and surface water is not polluted.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010690

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD37**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD37**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We continue to support the development proposed at Towngate East. We have clients with an interest in the site ADD44. As a housing or mixed use scheme this should take precedence over sites ADD37 and 38.

475370 SA2010288

Consultee Comments (Summary)

THE MACDONALD BUCHANAN TRUSTEES

Consultation Point

Number **ADD37**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD37**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The Consultation DPD gives a potential capacity of 206 dwellings. There is no reasonable or robust basis for identifying the site with a potential capacity of 206 dwellings. This site is currently the subject of an outline planning application (reference: S10/0934) for 120 dwellings. An agreed condition is to restrict the quantum of development to a maximum of 120 dwellings.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010747 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number ADD37

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD37

Nature Of Response: Observations

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (no rail connections / limited bus services, but local services within walking/cycling distance). Site approx 8 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

26169 Ms J Young SA201029 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number ADD37

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD37

Nature Of Response: Observations

Archaeological geophysics has been undertaken on site and an extensive Roman or Iron Age settlement is indicated as a continuation of that from the west. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is required.

26231 Mr D Bainbridge SA2010730 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Bidwells

Consultation Point

Number ADD37

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD37

Nature Of Response: Object

Stated potential capacity should be amended to 120 dwellings as per the resolution on outline planning application S10/0934.

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010681 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Number ADD38

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD38

Nature Of Response: Observations

Affected by Deeping St James Public Footpath No. 4.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010748 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number ADD38

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD38

Nature Of Response: Observations

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (no rail connections / limited bus services, but local services within walking/cycling distance). Site approx 8 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

462743 LARKFLEET HOMES SA2010292 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number ADD38

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD38

Nature Of Response: Object

This site can not be accessed from a public highway and therefore can not be defined as being 'available now' or as being 'achievable' because in the absence of access there is no reasonable prospect that housing will be delivered on the site within 5 years.

26231 Mr D Bainbridge SA2010731 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Bidwells

Consultation Point

Number ADD38

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD38

Nature Of Response: Object

Not accessible from public highway.

475370 SA2010287 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

THE MACDONALD
BUCHANAN TRUSTEES

Consultation Point

Number ADD38

Type: site

Title:

SiteReference: ADD38

Nature Of Response: Object

This site can not be accessed from a public highway and therefore it is not a deliverable site taking the definition at paragraph 54 of PPS3.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201030 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD38**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD38**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. However, given the extent of remains to the north and west, it is expected that Iron Age or Roman remains extend into this area. Further information required. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application will be required.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010691 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD38**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD38**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

We continue to support the development proposed at Towngate East. We have clients with an interest in the site ADD44. As a housing or mixed use scheme this should take precedence over sites ADD37 and 38.

26191 Mr S Pywell SA201096 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Welland & Deepings IDB
Consultation Point
Number **ADD38**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD38**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Consent will be required if it is proposed to alter, culvert etc any dyke on the site including riparian owned one. Attenuated surface water runoff may be discharged to the Board's No. 22 Drain to the south east of the site. Surface water runoff from this area eventually reaches the Counter Drain, a designated Special Area of Conservation. It is important that safeguards are in place to ensure ground and surface water is not polluted.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010749 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD44**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD44**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (no rail connections / limited bus services, but local services within walking/cycling distance). Site approx 8 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475370	SA2010286	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i>
THE MACDONALD BUCHANAN TRUSTEES		
Consultation Point		
Number ADD44		Support is expressed for the allocation of this site for a mixed-use residential and commercial development with associated development such as access, drainage, landscaping, etc. Site is deliverable for residential, commercial and associated development because it is available now and can be deliverable within 5 years. An informed masterplan is being prepared.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD44		
Nature Of Response: Support		
<hr/>		
26104 Mr M Herbert Brown & Co	SA2010692	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i>
Consultation Point		
Number ADD44		Support general development in this area.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD44		
Nature Of Response: Support		
<hr/>		
462743 LARKFLEET HOMES	SA2010291	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i>
Consultation Point		
Number ADD44		Support is expressed for the allocation of this site for a mixed-use residential and commercial development with associated development such as access, drainage, landscaping, etc. Site is deliverable for residential, commercial and associated development because it is available now and can be deliverable within 5 years. An informed masterplan is being prepared.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD44		
Nature Of Response: Support		
<hr/>		
26191 Mr S Pywell Welland & Deepings IDB	SA201097	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i>
Consultation Point		
Number ADD44		The site is bounded on the east by the Board's No. 24 Drain. Board's byelaws apply to this watercourse and no structures, landscaping etc should be placed within 9 metres of the top edge without the Board's consent. Consent will also be required if it is proposed to alter, culvert etc any dyke on the site including riparian owned ones. It is important its integrity is not affected by any proposals. Access will be required by mechanical machinery to maintain and if necessary improve the system and material removed will be deposited adjacent to the channel to decompose. Attenuated surface water runoff may be discharged to the watercourse. Surface water runoff from this area eventually reaches the Counter Drain, a designated Special Area of Conservation. It is important that safeguards are in place to ensure ground and surface water is not polluted.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD44		
Nature Of Response: Observations		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473661	Ms Sally Murray	SA2010156	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Natural England			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD44			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD44			
Nature Of Response: Other			
<hr/>			
260326	Mrs M Ford	SA2010556	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Market Deeping Town Council			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD44			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD44			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
26169	Ms J Young	SA201031	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Heritage Lincolnshire			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD44			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD44			
Nature Of Response: Observations			
<hr/>			
26231	Mr D Bainbridge	SA2010732	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Bidwells			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD44			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD44			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169	Ms J Young	SA201033	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Heritage Lincolnshire			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		No archaeological sites recorded. Short Scheduled section of Roman Ermine Street on opposite side of road. Archaeological work will be dependent on previous land use. Archaeological evaluation may be required.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Observations		
<hr/>			
474400	Ms Jane Bateman	SA2010510	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		The development currently being built will have an impact on the neighbouring roads with increased traffic and congestion, and there is no infrastructure within the development. Development on this proposed site would also set a precedence of building up to the border with Rutland County Council.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
372057	Mr Peter Beever	SA2010383	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Rutland County Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		Both of these sites border on Rutland and the Council would wish to ensure that any development would not impact adversely on Rutland in terms of the landscape, traffic on the road network and other infrastructure. The Council would favour an approach that assesses and compares all the sites around Stamford on an objective basis in terms of a range of economic, social and environmental factors, including issues such as the potential impact on the landscape, traffic on the road network and infrastructure of Rutland as well as that of Stamford.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
26365	Mrs P Stuart-Mogg	SA2010737	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Stamford Town Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		County Boundary issues in this area, but the area of land which is under SKDC authority is considered suitable for residential housing. Density should be no greater than 15-20 houses and development should be commensurate with the nature of the existing housing in Belvoir Close. As this site is at the gateway to the Town, housing development should reflect this in layout, quality and size.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285	Mr Owen Walters	SA2010750	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Highways Agency			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Bus service provision/Walking and cycling distance to Stamford town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (There is potential for cumulative impacts from these sites [ADD39-43] to increase pressure on A1/A606 and A1/6121 junctions, together with access issues due to location adjacent to the A1).
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Observations		
<hr/>			
26271	DLP Consultants	SA2010644	Consultee Comments (Summary)
DLP Planning Ltd			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD39		Support from agents for site.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD39		
Nature Of Response:	Support		
<hr/>			
487941	Mr M Newton	SA2010548	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Boyer Planning			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD40		We object to the inclusion of this site. It forms part of a large composite site (STAM07) known as the "Welland Quarter" that was already the subject of consultation in the October 2009 DPD. It is not therefore an "additional" site and does not merit a separate or repeat consultation. SHLAA conclusion was "not viable, not suitable and not deliverable", therefore there is no potential for housing. We consider that the overall approach to the Welland Quarter would merit some clarification as there is strong evidence that the area has little or no potential to provide additional housing.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD40		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
26365	Mrs P Stuart-Mogg	SA2010738	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Stamford Town Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD40		Stamford Town Council strongly objects to residential development on these sites as the proposal is in conflict with the recommendation by the Town Council to allocate this area, which forms part of the Welland Quarter, for waterside development/leisure/commercial purposes.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD40		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474400 Ms Jane Bateman SA2010511 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD40**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD40**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

If this site is within a Floodzone and has been previously considered why is it included again? It is not common sense to build on a Floodzone.

254819 Mr Jacob Newby SA2010528 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Environment Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD40**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD40**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

This site partly falls within an area at risk of flooding.

26245 Mr Jeremy Dawson SA2010531 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Strutt and Parker
Consultation Point
Number **ADD40**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD40**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

There are opportunities to improve the amenity of the areas river frontage and access to St Leonards Priory. The land is all in one ownership. Land south of the allotment gardens is also owned by the same land owner. A large majority of the site sits outside the flood risk zone. For these reasons we believe the site is suitable and we can confirm it is available and deliverable for residential development within the first five years of the planned period.

407067 Mrs P Lowe SA2010317 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD40**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD40**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Although the number of home indicated here is not large I would wish to know that as many precautions as possible had been taken to minimise increased surface run off and run off from roofs and thus to keep potential increased flooding to a minimum risk.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201034 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD40**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD40**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological sites recorded. Medieval remains recorded in vicinity. SM 22614 recorded to east. Further information required. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application will be required.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010694 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD40**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD40**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We believe there are flood issues with these sites.

26389 Mr Leslie Thiselton SA2010491 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD40**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD40**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Encroachment on this green field paddock site in close proximity to the south of Priory Road houses nos. 11-20. Access roads whether directly onto Priory Road or indirectly from Cherry Holt Road will bring increased traffic flow to an already busy road with, often, speeding vehicles.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010752 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD40**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD40**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Bus service provision/Walking and cycling distance to Stamford town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (There is potential for cumulative impacts from these sites [ADD39-43] to increase pressure on A1/A606 and A1/6121 junctions, together with access issues due to location adjacent to the A1).

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474400 Ms Jane Bateman SA2010512

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If this site contains a Monument and has been previously considered why is it included again? The Monument needs to be protected so the site should not be included.

26169 Ms J Young SA201035

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

SM 22614 Site is scheduled. English Heritage will need to be consulted.

26389 Mr Leslie Thiselton SA2010492

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Use of this Fish Ponds field, as used in the past by the Priory monks to breed fish for their daily food constitutes desecration of an ancient, historic site - part of the St Leonards Priory. This apart, any building on this site will detract from the present wonderful view of the west front of the Priory. Access roads onto Priory Road will bring increased traffic flow at a significant part of Priory Road where the road already narrows down to a dangerous width.

407067 Mrs P Lowe SA2010320

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

All attempts should be made to ensure no additional flooding risk from run off and also consideration should be given to sympathetic development in view of the proximity of the Priory.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

254819 Mr Jacob Newby SA2010529 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Environment Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD41**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD41**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

This site partly falls within an area at risk of flooding.

487941 Mr M Newton SA2010549 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Boyer Planning
Consultation Point
Number **ADD41**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD41**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

We object to the inclusion of this site. It forms part of a large composite site (STAM07) known as the "Welland Quarter" that was already the subject of consultation in the October 2009 DPD. It is not therefore an "additional" site and does not merit a separate or repeat consultation. SHLAA conclusion was "not viable, not suitable and not deliverable", therefore there is no potential for housing. We consider that the overall approach to the Welland Quarter would merit some clarification as there is strong evidence that the area has little or no potential to provide additional housing.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010753 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD41**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD41**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Bus service provision/Walking and cycling distance to Stamford town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (There is potential for cumulative impacts from these sites [ADD39-43] to increase pressure on A1/A606 and A1/6121 junctions, together with access issues due to location adjacent to the A1).

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010179 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
English Heritage
Consultation Point
Number **ADD41**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD41**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

We have significant concerns regarding the proposed allocation of this site for residential development. The entirety of the site is designated as a scheduled monument (St Leonard's Priory, which is also Grade I listed) and is therefore completely unsuitable for the type of development proposed. Substantial harm would be caused to the monument and we therefore strongly recommend that this site allocation is rejected.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010695 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We believe there are flood issues with these sites.

26245 Mr Jeremy Dawson SA2010530 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Strutt and Parker

Consultation Point

Number **ADD41**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD41**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

The site offers opportunities for good quality retirement housing due to its close proximity to the town centre circa 650 metres to the west of the site, and the out of town retail facilities and Stamford Hospital offered on Uffington and Ryhall Roads located within circa 250 metres .

463930 Mr P West and Mrs S SA20108 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD42**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD42**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

We strongly support the allocation of this site and believe that it would be extremely suitable for development in terms of sustainable development objectives.

26169 Ms J Young SA201036 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD42**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD42**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site lies in an area of archaeological interest. Late Saxon smelting site to west. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010682 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Lincolnshire County Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD42** Affected by Stamford Public Footpath Nos. 14 and 15.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD42**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010751 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD42** Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Bus service provision/Walking and cycling distance to Stamford town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (There is potential for cumulative impacts from these sites [ADD39-43] to increase pressure on A1/A606 and A1/6121 junctions, together with access issues due to location adjacent to the A1).
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD42**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

26365 Mrs P Stuart-Mogg SA2010739 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Stamford Town Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD42** Should be supported subject to reasonable density and quality houses as it is one of the main gateways in to the town. The site should have only 15-20 houses. Any new development should be commensurate with the nature of the existing housing in the area.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD42**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010180 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
English Heritage
Consultation Point
Number **ADD42** Sensitive site on edge of Stamford CA, close to Grade II* Registered Park and Garden of Burghley Park, and forming part of entrance to Stamford from tA43. Site makes an important contribution to the setting of the town and its historic environment. Further assessment is needed to ascertain the likely impact of development on the above features and it may transpire that it is unsuitable for allocation.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD42**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474400 Ms Jane Bateman SA2010513

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD42**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD42**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Two footpaths cross this site which is a concern. Also if the football club is relocated then the site it is currently on will surely be built on and it is near to ADD42 so I am concerned about overdevelopment in this part of the town.

407067 Mrs P Lowe SA2010318

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Concerns about increased traffic flow, especially from A1, and access to site from Ryhall Road. There must be adequate off-road parking as Ryhall Road is not suitable to have cars parked on the road.

372057 Mr Peter Beever SA2010384

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Rutland County Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Both of these sites border on Rutland and the Council would wish to ensure that any development would not impact adversely on Rutland in terms of the landscape, traffic on the road network and other infrastructure.

470940 Mr Steve Dunne SA201082

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Already suffer from noise and light problems when matches played at Blackstones Ground. Another stadium on the other side of use will be intolerable. The rubbish tip would be better sited on brownfield land behind Morrisons.

472174 Mr Alan Patrick SA2010105

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns with impact of extra traffic on Ryhall Road. The proposals for a Football Ground and Household Recycling Centre in Ryhall Road should be abandoned and a realistic long overdue town plan finally brought to fruition.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474400 Ms Jane Bateman SA2010514

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I am concerned that suggesting this site for the football club could affect Stamford having a waste facility something which is long overdue and greatly needed to avoid residents having to travel to the waste centre in Bourne. Development off Ryhall Road is rightly contentious with local residents. A site for the waste facility should be resolved first and not muddle the issues of development in this part of the town.

470942 Mr David Fountain SA201083

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

It is a dreadful proposal with little consideration for the residents who will be in its immediate locality.

469440 Mrs A D C Wall SA201070

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Football pitches would be noisy. A site near the A1 would provide better access for visitors. Recycling centre is needed, but site is too near residential housing and should be placed further away from town. This is a very beautiful area, and it would be a shame to spoil it, and I would also like to add, I am not against football, it is just where it is proposed to be.

470937 Mr M Williams SA201081

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

In my view there are sufficient brown field locations that could be used for the recycling centre. In addition I can see no reason to relocate the football ground, it would only serve to disadvantage local residents and adversely affect property prices. I am also concerned that such a development would be contrary to local and national planning guidelines and be in breach of environmental laws concerning water supply.

407008 Mrs Vanessa MacKin SA2010602

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I have no objection to the site being used for open space recreation e.g. parkland. However, I do object to the football club being relocated there as this will bring problems with noise, light pollution and traffic congestion on an already busy road.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

472181	Mrs S C Yau	SA2010106	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Both these facilities would be appreciated by Stamford residents, but for those living close to Ryhall Road, such as myself, the drastic change in landscape, the high levels of noise, the potential for anti-social behaviour and pollution generated by the FC and Recycling Centre would be highly detrimental to the quality of our lives in that part of the town.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
472208	Mr & Mrs Paul & Dian	SA2010111	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Concerns about loss of agricultural land and of wildlife habitat. Concerns about loss of amenity for local residents and the increase in noise pollution, visual intrusion, litter and traffic volumes. Whilst we understand the desirability of sports and recreational facilities we do urge you to look for alternative locations and so save these idyllic fields from destruction.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
26169	Ms J Young	SA201032	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Heritage Lincolnshire			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Site has been archaeologically evaluatedFurther archaeological work is required but can be secured by condition
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Observations		
<hr/>			
371897	Mr Robert Billinger	SA2010107	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Football Club Reallocation: concerns at loss of farmland and views. Blackstones FC 200m away - do not need another club in area. Concerns about loss of peace and quiet. Household Recycling Centre: main concerns are the constant noise from large lorries and the smell, having paid a visit to the Louth Household Recycling Centre and spoken to the employees, who stated that the smell was not very pleasant especially from the compressed garden rubbish. General: concerns about traffic congestion along Ryhall Road.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
49527	Mrs A M Armstrong	SA2010108	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		The town could use these added facilities but it is not in the best interest of Stamford to site them on Green field sites which are currently used for agricultural purposes. The site has a known drainage problem. There are concerns about road safety because of the extra traffic to be generated. A site near Morrisons is more suitable for both the football club and the recycling centre. Could football and rugby clubs be amalgamated at the Empingham Road playing fields?
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

472195 Ms Barbara Prichard SA2010109

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of wildlife. Football ground not needed as Blackstones ground is nearby.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010693

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This seems an inappropriate location, on sustainability grounds, for additional development.

470950 Ms Janet Tansley SA201085

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

More suitable brownfield site for waste recycling centre eg near Morrisons. Ryhall Road already has heavy traffic and congestion - estates, hospital, nursery etc. We already put up with noise from Blackstone's sports field. To have another so close will cause great unhappiness to many residents. There are few enough greenfield areas left.

26365 Mrs P Stuart-Mogg SA2010740

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Stamford Town Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The Town Council recommends that this area is retained as open green space.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

470969 Mr & Mrs J & J Medca SA201087

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We have received a flyer (source unknown) giving information about proposals for development on Ryhall Road i.e. a football ground and a recycling centre. We do not believe either of the proposals should be permitted. Our reasons are mainly concerned with traffic. These proposals would interfere with the free flow of vehicles along the Ryhall Road which is, of course, a classified highway, A6121. We have been living in our present house - 15 Losecoat Close, which back onto the Ryhall Road - for over 10 years. In that time the traffic on this road has, it seems to us, increase materially. This is not surprising since there has been much development along the road. The Sheepmarket surgery has been built and now facilities at the hospital are being provided. There is a Homebase, a Curr's and a Carpet saleroom. There is also a Focus, Argos, Halfords, Poundstretcher and Lidl. And the Gwash Way Industrial estate now seems to be fully active. All these generate considerable amounts of traffic, and planning permission has been given for a Sainsbury's supermarket which, if implemented, will result in even more traffic. Both the development proposals will be bound to generate considerable amounts of traffic and the provision of what appears to be a new roundabout will be bound to cause queues to develop. There are no compelling reasons for either of the proposed developments to be sited where proposed, which, in addition, are adjoining residential areas. The football club already has a ground, and we wonder whether it is because the Burghley estates see an opportunity to get planning permission for housing on the club's existing site (thereby releasing a considerable financial gain) that this proposal has arisen. The flyer asks whether we know of other "brownfield" sites for the recycling centre. We are not as familiar as you will be with the "brownfield" sites in Stamford, but had you considered incorporating a recycling centre on the large empty site adjacent to the Morrison's supermarket. We are aware that Morrison's have an outstanding planning application for the redevelopment of this land, but we would have thought that it would have been possible to incorporate a recycling centre at the back of this development. Both Morrison's themselves and the proposed retail outlets probably generate a considerable amount of recyclable material. We hope to receive your assurance that our views will be taken into account when the proposals are considered by your Council, and that if the County Council are the highways authority for the Ryhall Road, you will make them aware of our views. I may say that a recycling centre in the location proposed would, of course, be very convenient for us as an alternative to the trek to Bourne, but we still do not think this is the right place for it!

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

407064 Mr Philip Williams SA201088

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Ryhall Road Residents Association

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I am writing to you on behalf of "The Ryhall Road Residents Assoc" concerning proposed inclusion of a greenfield site to the north of Stamford, east of Ryhall Road into your "Development Plan". The Residents would formally like to address their concerns regarding any proposed change of usage. We feel this site is inappropriate for many reasons the main ones being any development would be contrary to the general aims of the National, Regional and local planning policy especially the PPS7 and local plan policy EN2. Also it would need to be referred to the Secretary of State and all the costs that this would incur which would fall on local tax payers. I would also add to this the increase in traffic it would generate especially in what would normally be our quiet hours along with light pollution which would be caused in the hours of darkness. Our association proposed using the old 'tip site' off Barnack Road to relocate the Stamford town football club to and any associated sports facilities, this has been ignored so far. Hundreds of people would be affected by the industrialisation/usage of this green field site, we feel too much weight is being given to Burghley Estate land sales when brownfield sites could be purchased or even compulsory purchased in other areas. According to your SHLAA key for site constraints to include Acc, FZ, OC, TOP, OSC all score negatively when applied to this site. To develop on a water protection zone adjacent to a major aquifer when other sites could be available shows a total disregard to the Environmentally sensitive nature of the area also the noise sensitive receptors adjacent. Currently this site is in open countryside the district development plan seeks to protect the open countryside, it also seeks to prioritise the use of brownfield sites. This plan would breach both of these objections. We would ask you to consider this, if this site is chosen this arable land is lost forever as a source of food production. Given your own guide lines on greenfield sites one wonders why you are even considering this site for inclusion. The broad thrust and ethos of National, Regional and local level planning policy is to direct most new developments away from rural areas and open countryside. We have the support of Stamford Councillor Bob Sandal, Councillor John Hicks and the Preservation of Rural England Society in our objections to any proposed development on this greenfield site along with the hundreds of people who have signed our petition.

367814 Mr Mark Durrant SA2010153

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about visual impact of security fencing, hard standing, car parks etc. There will be significant increase in noise and loss of privacy for local residents during evenings, weekends & early Sunday mornings. Site too remote from town centre (contrary to PPG17). Use will impact adversely on open countryside. Concerns about loss of agricultural land. This is a ground water protection zone with a major aquifer underneath. Development is contrary to Objective 11 of Core Strategy. Request any application is referred to the Secretary of State for consideration.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010754

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD43**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD43**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Bus service provision/Walking and cycling distance to Stamford town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (There is potential for cumulative impacts from these sites [ADD39-43] to increase pressure on A1/A606 and A1/6121 junctions, together with access issues due to location adjacent to the A1).

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

471254	A & A Gillard	SA201099	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Concerns at loss of greenfield site. There are other more suitable brownfield sites available. [suggests site in Baston]. Proposed recycling site too near residential properties and children's nursery. Football facility not required (Blackstones FC about 100m away and school and college facilities nearby could consider sharing facilities). Proposals will mean house prices fall and noise, light, traffic pollution will increase. This land has been farmed for hundreds of years - Burghley estates does not need to sell this land to survive and the council can make gains and profits from recycling by utilising brownfield sites that are away from domestic housing but within South Kesteven.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
470946	Steve and Anne	SA201084	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Concerns about traffic impact of waste recycling centre. Site too near houses - should be placed out of town. Football ground not needed - town has two perfectly acceptable grounds.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
471250	Miss Yas P Fairbairn	SA201098	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		Development will spoil the beautiful surrounding of the area nearby when there are other suitable "fields" that the proposed football ground and recycling can be built. We do not need both these facilities near us but far, far away from this wonderful town of ours.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
470963	Mr Geoff Newmarch	SA201086	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		I agree a recycling sites is needed but there must be better locations than on one of the most congested roads in Stamford. The increase of traffic, noise and light pollution from the proposed football club would just make the road worse than at present. I would like to suggest that the council reconsiders the proposal and looks for a better site for the recycling plant and that perhaps Burghley estates could provide some land for the football club as it is they who are proposing to sell the current site for housing.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
26283	Mr Mark Flood	SA2010500	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Insight Town Planning Ltd			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD43		We are currently assessing the development layout and although the site area shown is excessive, my client would not object to it as a broad interpretation of the football ground site. However, the table of sites lists the suggested uses as "leisure, open space and recreation" in addition to the football ground itself. I understand that this concept has been put forward by a third party, but my client's brief extends only to providing for the new football ground as part of a relocation package. I therefore request that the suggested use in the table of sites be revised to read "site for football club relocation".
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD43		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010181

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Number **ADD1**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD1**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Significant concerns: site incorporates part of scheduled Roman camp south of railway line. This part of the site could not be developed and the wider site could harm the setting of the monument. Could also affect the setting of Ancaster CA to the SE and scheduled area of Roman settlement on southern edge of village. Such a large site allocation would have a considerable impact on the overall village and its historic environment, and we are likely to resist its allocation.

26169 Ms J Young SA201037

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD1**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD1**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

SM295 Roman marching camp. Roman (HER 37028) and Georgian finds (HER 37029) present within site boundary. Part of site scheduled. English Heritage need to be consulted. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application will be required.

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010675

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD1**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD1**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Affected by Ancaster Restricted Byway No. 12. The site is also affected by a claimed Byway Open to All Traffic, although the precise location has yet to be ascertained. It is probable that the alignment of the claimed route is to the east of the existing Restricted Byway No. 12.

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010323

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD1**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD1**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site is adjacent to Moor Closes SSSI. Concerns about increased visitor pressure resulting from development. Would expect ecological survey to be carried out to determine whether site contains important or protected habitats or species. (Sec 40 of Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006)

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

401968 Mr Albert Johnson SA2010421 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD1**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD1**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development not needed: plenty of properties for sale and rent. There is a lack of facilities and adequate bus and train service.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010755 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD1**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD1**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Good potential for Sustainable Travel (Heavy rail services to Sleaford and Grantham). Site approx 9 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010157 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Natural England
Consultation Point
Number **ADD1**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD1**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This proposed site would be immediately situated to the north of the Moor Closes SSSI. This site is of national importance as an outstanding example of a traditionally managed neutral wet and rush rich pasture. Only fragments of this habitat type now exist, as these soils are easily improved for more intensive agricultural use. We therefore have considerable concern that this large residential site will have an adverse impact on this grassland habitat. In addition to our above concerns this sites size and scale it has the potential to be out of scale with the surrounding landscape character and as such is likely to have an adverse impact on local character.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010696 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD1**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD1**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

We support the allocation of this site. It follows representations which we submitted on behalf of the landowners.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

401968 Mr Albert Johnson SA2010422

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD2**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD2**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is situated on the A153, a busy A road with heavy traffic in both directions. A 40 mph speed limit and many Emergency vehicles exceeding the speed limit. I can hear their sirens from my house, its on wrong side of the A153 for children to get to the Ancaster Primary School. A footbridge would be the safe option.

26169 Ms J Young SA201038

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD2**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD2**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

379251 Mrs Sally Ward SA2010117

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **2.6**

Type: **section**

Title: **Barkston**

SiteReference: **2.6**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Concerns that scale of development would overwhelm existing village. Concerns about road safety and loss of greenfield sites. Concerns about school capacity and lack of facilities.

372618 Mr David McDonald SA2010100

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of this site would double the village. Concerns about safety of those using adjacent playing fields. Concerns about volume of traffic and road safety, capacity of school and infrastructure (including increased flooding). Village requires new doctors surgery, school extension and playing field upgrade.

464013 Mrs Michelle Dewey SA2010335

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

A607 too fast to access from large estate, outside village boundary, loss of prime agricultural land, loss of wildlife.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

389643 Mrs Elizabeth Duncan SA2010559

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

* Outside the village curtilage. * Vastly too great a density - contributing to a potential imbalance in the overall size of Barkston. * Increased traffic - would cause noise disturbance, disruption and road safety hazards.

381016 Mr John Roberts SA2010378

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The proposed housing density is excessive with implications for increases in traffic volume on local roads and the A607 red route. Loss of prime agricultural land. The huge area of this one site is equal to approx 25% of the existing development area of Barkston. The village does not have any infrastructure to support such a large scale development.

381602 Mrs Nicola Pell SA2010369

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response:

26169 Ms J Young SA201039

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Two Bronze Age barrow cropmarks recorded within and just outside northern part of site (HER 36415 & 36416) Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application will be required.

414255 Mrs Susan Evans SA2010532

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Access onto the main road and the congestion in Church Street, particularly during school hours. This is a large site and housing density is far too high for a rural area.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

379171 Mrs Pauline Sharpe SA2010359

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Increased potential for accidents on the A607 (Red Route) from this additional site on the main road. Loss of agricultural land. The proposed high density of dwellings for this site is totally disproportionate to the existing number of properties in the village.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010697

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

380559 Mr Arthur Drury SA2010537

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

None of the sites have good access onto the A607. The school is full and no room to increase and there is no PO and only a Spa Shop at the garage.

379007 Mrs Janet Everett SA2010464

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

A large site, outside the curtilage of the village which would be out of proportion of the village and out of character, as well as increasing traffic along an already busy road (the A607).

371786 Mr Michael James SA2010596

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

Support limited development (less than ten) on this site. There is a need for limited affordable housing within the village. Small development off Main Road (east side) could allow safe access.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381239	Mr Peter Hawkins	SA2010136	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			This is the largest site which, taken in conjunction with all of the others would treble the size of the village.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
381276	Mr & Mrs Peter & Mar	SA2010368	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Too many houses - would overwhelm the character of the village. Would exacerbate the problems of A607.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
490730	Mr Alan Hubbard	SA2010641	Consultee Comments (Summary)
The National Trust			
Consultation Point			It is considered that the scale of development could have a notable and adverse impact upon the wider setting of the Belton Estate.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
380802	Mrs Valerie Marrow	SA2010392	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Barkston is a quiet, picturesque village and I feel that the village is quite large enough. I suppose I have least objection to developing this site because out of all the sites suggested this will have less impact on village life. It is on the main road so there would be enough access onto the A607 and not interfere with people's lives too much.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Support		
<hr/>			
381266	Mrs Jill Hawkins	SA2010141	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			A site of this size can only make all aspects of roads and amenities more congested in a village struggling to cope with the set-up we have to date.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490993 Mrs Carole Anne Jam SA2010668

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

Support limited development of houses (less than ten) on this site. Limited additional affordable housing in the village needed. Small development could allow safe access and new junction onto Main Road would add pedestrian traffic on the school side of the village.

379251 Mrs Sally Ward SA2010113

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about size of development. Need to increase infrastructure, local services to cope. A607 is Red Route so concerns re access/safety/increase in traffic etc. Loss of green field site and increase in noise - light pollution- impact on wildlife.

381070 Mr David Inglis SA2010453

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Will double size of village. A607 is a red route road. Very busy road at peak times. Lack of jobs and amenities. School over subscribed. Noise and light pollution. Loss of wildlife. Loss of agricultural land.

381041 Mrs Nena Roberts SA2010194

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerned about loss of agricultural land, road safety and impact on village.

381180 Miss Rachel Cammac SA2010461

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD3**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD3**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to the proposed development because development of this site would double the size of the village. Access to site is a red route which is very busy especially at peak times. Lack of jobs and amenities for amount of people, village school too small to accommodate all families. Conservation issues with increase in noise and light pollution, loss of agricultural land and wildlife such as badgers, foxes, owls, bats, woodpeckers, frogs, toads, snakes, hares.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

476295	Mr Robert Dewey	SA2010345	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
369442	Mr Raymond Armstro	SA201010	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			General objections to this suggested site in Barkston are : The potential development is disproportionate for the size of the village and if it were to come to fruition, would massively increase the existing size of the village. The village straddles the A607 which is designated a Red Route and is already a heavily congested road. Further development may increase the potential for accidents in the village area as essential village amenities are located on both sides of this road. Congestion on the A607 where it enters Grantham is already a severe problem. The village infrastructure could not cope with any large scale development. The village school is already oversubscribed as it also serves numerous other villages in the area. A change in the size of the school would alter its village character. Widening of village roads to accept the extra traffic would also change the character of the village to that of a small town. The Parish Council has previously voiced the feelings of the existing residents of the village by opposing the suggested developments.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
414696	Mr Andrew Dewey	SA2010337	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns about loss of agricultural land, wildlife and village character. Road infrastructure inadequate and shortage of school places. Concerns that Grantham has major problems with traffic and hospital places.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
380513	Dr Chris Everett	SA2010456	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Large site, if fully developed would add greatly to size and population of village. Well outside the curtilage of the village as defined and used by planners for many years to suppress or deny development.
Number	ADD3		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26328	Mr M L Hall	SA2010388	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Barkston & Syston Parish Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD3		Concerns that site is "tacked on" to the village and development would appear as something of an afterthought to the present rather neat outline of the village envelope. In addition, ADD3 is too far out of the village.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
381541	Mr David Dewey	SA2010341	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD3		Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
497285	Mr Owen Walters	SA2010756	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Highways Agency			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD3		Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 5 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD3		
Nature Of Response:	Observations		
<hr/>			
26328	Mr M L Hall	SA2010389	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Barkston & Syston Parish Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD4		Concerns that site is "tacked on" to the village and development would appear as something of an afterthought to the present rather neat outline of the village envelope.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD4		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381016 Mr John Roberts SA2010379 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns at scale of development and impact on existing properties. Barkston does not have the infrastructure to support such large scale development. Concerns about loss of trees, hedges and wildlife habitats.

381541 Mr David Dewey SA2010342 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

379251 Mrs Sally Ward SA2010114 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety (narrow road), light pollution and loss of wildlife.

380802 Mrs Valerie Marrow SA2010393 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this development because: the road is too narrow - already used as a rat run, there is a bad bend and difficult access onto the busy main road.

381266 Mrs Jill Hawkins SA2010143 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site would completely cause dangerous traffic problems on a small road - partly used as a farm access.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381533 Gp Capt Russell Willi SA2010110

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Between 1997/8 I had to object most strongly to a housing development at the rear of my property. I was only partially successful. My countryside view to the rear has now been obliterated. This new proposal will now do the same at the front of my property. After 40 years as a resident, I find the latest proposal will further desecrate the 'countryside'.

464013 Mrs Michelle Dewey SA2010332

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns that road infrastructure not suitable - too narrow, dangerous access to site and difficult access to A607. Concerns about loss of wildlife habitats and prime agricultural land. School and hospital full and no work.

381041 Mrs Nena Roberts SA2010195

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of wildlife habitat, impact on street scene, road safety and impact of size of developments on village.

414696 Mr Andrew Dewey SA2010338

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land, wildlife and village character. Road infrastructure inadequate and shortage of school places. Concerns that Grantham has major problems with traffic and hospital places.

26169 Ms J Young SA201040

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. A DMV lies to the south. Prehistoric cropmarks recorded to north Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

476295 Mr Robert Dewey SA2010346

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

372618 Mr David McDonald SA2010101

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of this site would double the village. Concerns about safety of those using adjacent playing fields. Concerns about volume of traffic and road safety, capacity of school and infrastructure (including increased flooding). Village requires new doctors surgery, school extension and playing field upgrade.

379171 Mrs Pauline Sharpe SA2010360

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This additional development (plus sites BARK01 and 02) would have detrimental effect on the street scene on Hough Road. More dwellings would increase the traffic volume which is already busy in the rush hour periods. Concerns about disturbance from lights and noise and loss of trees, hedges and wildlife habitat.

381239 Mr Peter Hawkins SA2010137

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

As explained in my previous Response Form of December 2009 for BARK01 and BARK02.

381276 Mr & Mrs Peter & Mar SA2010366

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety, access, loss of allotments, threat to wildlife, loss of agricultural land. Development should be sympathetic to existing ie bungalows.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381602 Mrs Nicola Pell SA2010371

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Open countryside and views destroyed by housing, Hough Road totally unsuitable for anymore traffic, junction to A607 on tight bend and very busy, long wait to join at peak times. Light, noise pollution on what is country road which would destroy village character.

369442 Mr Raymond Armstro SA201011

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

General objections to this suggested site in Barkston are : The potential development is disproportionate for the size of the village and if it were to come to fruition, would massively increase the existing size of the village. The village straddles the A607 which is designated a Red Route and is already a heavily congested road. Further development may increase the potential for accidents in the village area as essential village amenities are located on both sides of this road. Congestion on the A607 where it enters Grantham is already a severe problem. The village infrastructure could not cope with any large scale development. The village school is already oversubscribed as it also serves numerous other villages in the area. A change in the size of the school would alter its village character. Widening of village roads to accept the extra traffic would also change the character of the village to that of a small town. The Parish Council has previously voiced the feelings of the existing residents of the village by opposing the suggested developments.

381180 Miss Rachel Cammac SA2010462

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development is disproportional for the size of the village. Road safety issues on Hough Road and junction with A607. Conservation issues include loss of agricultural land and impact on wildlife.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010698

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD4**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD4**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010757 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 5 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

490993 Mrs Carole Anne Jam SA2010667 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Traffic and service constraints. Size of development is out of keeping with the shape of the existing development along Hough Road. Allotments are located on this site and particular reference is made within the Strategy documents to the need for retaining allotment spaces.

379007 Mrs Janet Everett SA2010465 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Hough Road is narrow and has limited access onto the A607. Traffic has already increased along this road and further development would only exacerbate the situation. Present development in this area has been kept to a level suitable for a small village. Further increases would affect the rural nature of the area.

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010642 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
The National Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

It is considered that the scale of development could have a notable and adverse impact upon the wider setting of the Belton Estate.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

380559 Mr Arthur Drury SA2010538 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

None of the sites have good access onto the A607. The school is full and no room to increase and there is no PO and only a Spa Shop at the garage.

381070 Mr David Inglis SA2010454 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Disproportionate for size of village. Hough Road too narrow. Sharp bend at junction to A607. A607 red route and busy at peak times. Noise and light pollution. Loss of wildlife. Loss of agricultural land.

414255 Mrs Susan Evans SA2010533 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of these sites would amount to a very significant loss of the open aspect of the village character; loss of wildlife; significant increase in light pollution; loss of allotments, for which there is a waiting list.

371786 Mr Michael James SA2010595 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Size of development is out of keeping with the shape of the existing development along Hough Road. Allotments are located on this site and particular reference is made within the Strategy documents to the need for retaining allotment spaces.

380513 Dr Chris Everett SA2010457 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD4**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD4**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Similar objections as for ADD3. In addition, Hough Road is narrow and has a limited access onto A607. Clearly, in event of development, many more cars would use this junction.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

389643 Mrs Elizabeth Duncan SA2010560

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about access, impact of traffic and density too great.

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

26169 Ms J Young SA201041

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. A DMV lies to the south. Prehistoric cropmarks recorded to north. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010643

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The National Trust

Consultation Point

This proposed site would be surrounded by fields on three sides and does not follow the linear form of the settlement. It is also considered that the scale of development could have an adverse impact upon the wider setting of the Belton Estate.

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

381602 Mrs Nicola Pell SA2010372

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Large open farmland/countryside destroyed by building - currently open views to Marston. Access onto Hough Road totally unsuitable for any more cars as is West Street. Any widening of Hough Road would be totally out of keeping with village. Light, noise pollution affecting Hough Road properties and West Street.

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

476295 Mr Robert Dewey SA2010347

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

381541 Mr David Dewey SA2010343

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

381180 Miss Rachel Cammac SA2010463

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to the proposed development as it is disproportionate for the size of the village. Traffic from site would go onto West Street which is a narrow road, cars cannot pass if resident has parked at side of road. Conservation area of the village. Increase in noise and light pollution, loss of wildlife such as badgers, foxes, owls, woodpeckers, hares, grass snakes, bats. Access onto A607 is difficult at peak times, this development would cause cars to back up the length of West Street. Village school cannot accommodate a big increase in no. of families to the village.

464013 Mrs Michelle Dewey SA2010334

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns that road infrastructure not suitable - too narrow, dangerous access to site and difficult access to A607. Concerns about loss of wildlife habitats and prime agricultural land. School and hospital full and no work.

414696 Mr Andrew Dewey SA2010339

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land, wildlife and village character. Road infrastructure inadequate and shortage of school places. Concerns that Grantham has major problems with traffic and hospital places.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381070 Mr David Inglis SA2010455 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

West Street and Hough Road too narrow to support extra traffic. Conservation Area of village. Increase in noise and light pollution. Loss of wildlife. Access difficult onto A607 at peak times.

414255 Mrs Susan Evans SA2010534 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety and parking.

379171 Mrs Pauline Sharpe SA2010361 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

If access is built into West Street it would mean increase in traffic and the associated problems this would bring. Access into the adjacent previously proposed site BARK2 would add considerably to the traffic problems which Hough Road already faces from other proposed sites.

380513 Dr Chris Everett SA2010458 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Similar objection as for ADD4. Increased traffic from either Hough Road or West Street would add to the hazards of junctions with A607.

379007 Mrs Janet Everett SA2010466 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Similar objections to ADD4. The increase in traffic from either Hough Road or West Street would add further to the hazards of crossing the A607 - either by car or for pedestrians.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26328	Mr M L Hall	SA2010390	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Barkston & Syston Parish Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD5		Concerns about access onto Hough Road, which is little more than a country lane and bends sharply at the place where access is more likely, causing severe road safety problems.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD5		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
381266	Mrs Jill Hawkins	SA2010144	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD5		This appears to have been offered for the benefit of the owner and not the village! I note that your plan (included with this form) shows the road from the Hough Road up to the Barn includes the access of the owners of The Grange - this is a shared drive.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD5		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
381041	Mrs Nena Roberts	SA2010196	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD5		Concerns about highway safety - narrow road with no pavement in places.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD5		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
369442	Mr Raymond Armstro	SA201012	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD5		General objections to this suggested site in Barkston are : The potential development is disproportionate for the size of the village and if it were to come to fruition, would massively increase the existing size of the village. The village straddles the A607 which is designated a Red Route and is already a heavily congested road. Further development may increase the potential for accidents in the village area as essential village amenities are located on both sides of this road. Congestion on the A607 where it enters Grantham is already a severe problem. The village infrastructure could not cope with any large scale development. The village school is already oversubscribed as it also serves numerous other villages in the area. A change in the size of the school would alter its village character. Widening of village roads to accept the extra traffic would also change the character of the village to that of a small town. The Parish Council has previously voiced the feelings of the existing residents of the village by opposing the suggested developments.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD5		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381239 Mr Peter Hawkins SA2010138

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

A huge expansion of BARK03 without solving any of my original objections. Increased traffic will prove more hazardous. There are no suitable roads to absorb it.

371786 Mr Michael James SA2010594

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about access to site and impact on West Street and A607 junction.

380802 Mrs Valerie Marrow SA2010394

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this development because: the road is too narrow - already used as a rat run, there is a bad bend and difficult access onto the busy main road.

381276 Mr & Mrs Peter & Mar SA2010367

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

* Access to potential dwellings off West Street is narrow. * Sites on both sides of the main A607 may increase the potential for accidents. * Conservation issues.

380559 Mr Arthur Drury SA2010539

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

None of the sites have good access onto the A607. The school is full and no room to increase and there is no PO and only a Spa Shop at the garage.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490993 Mrs Carole Anne Jam SA2010666

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety and traffic impact. Development of open space - in conflict with avoidance of infill development within a ribbon developed landscape.

381016 Mr John Roberts SA2010380

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about increase in traffic and noise and loss of trees and hedgerows. Barkston does not possess the infrastructure to support the mass developments proposed and resulting population explosion.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010699

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

372618 Mr David McDonald SA2010102

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of this site would double the village. Concerns about safety of those using adjacent playing fields. Concerns about volume of traffic and road safety, capacity of school and infrastructure (including increased flooding). Village requires new doctors surgery, school extension and playing field upgrade.

379251 Mrs Sally Ward SA2010116

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD5**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD5**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Scale of development. Where would access be - existing driveway comes out on dangerous bend and junction with main road. Again rural aspect of village would be compromised.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010758 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD5**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD5**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 5 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

380513 Dr Chris Everett SA2010459 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Perhaps the least objectionable of the 4 new sites, inasmuch as it is by far the smallest thereby limiting the increase in housing and population. It is also the site which least extends the curtilage of the village.

381541 Mr David Dewey SA2010344 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

381266 Mrs Jill Hawkins SA2010145 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Again - see my comments of ADD5 road access seems to be assumed by SKDC Planning Department as theirs! The drive is jointly owned by the owners of The Grange and The Barn.

414696 Mr Andrew Dewey SA2010340 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land, wildlife and village character. Road infrastructure inadequate and shortage of school places. Concerns that Grantham has major problems with traffic and hospital places.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

371786 Mr Michael James SA2010593

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact on views of open countryside from CA. Concerns about loss of privacy to nearby properties - plot size too small to ensure reasonable privacy to proposed number of residents.

381239 Mr Peter Hawkins SA2010139

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Traffic from this site appears to exit onto a single track drive - thence onto Hough Road.

26169 Ms J Young SA201042

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. A DMV lies to the south. Prehistoric cropmarks recorded to north. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

379007 Mrs Janet Everett SA2010467

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The smallest site, which is within the curtilage of the village and therefore would be more in keeping for a small development which could be integrated into the village community.

380559 Mr Arthur Drury SA2010540

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

None of the sites have good access onto the A607. The school is full and no room to increase and there is no PO and only a Spa Shop at the garage.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490993 Mrs Carole Anne Jam SA2010662

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact on CA, loss of greenfield land. Concerns about road safety and traffic impact.

380802 Mrs Valerie Marrow SA2010395

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this development because: the road is too narrow - already used as a rat run, there is a bad bend and difficult access onto the busy main road.

414255 Mrs Susan Evans SA2010535

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Road access onto the A607 behind the garage would be dangerous and unworkable.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010700

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

476295 Mr Robert Dewey SA2010348

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: unsuitable road infrastructure, loss of prime agricultural land, change to village too drastic, no mention of extra amenities which would be required.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

463127 Mr George Thomas G SA20104

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This suggested location is totally unacceptable.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010759

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 5 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

369442 Mr Raymond Armstro SA201013

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The existing village infrastructure may be able to support a development of this size with junction improvements as noted in the response.

26328 Mr M L Hall SA2010391

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Barkston & Syston Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about access onto Hough Road, which is little more than a country lane and bends sharply at the place where access is more likely, causing severe road safety problems.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381041 Mrs Nena Roberts SA2010197

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

This site does not appear to have access to either West Street or Hough Road.

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

464013 Mrs Michelle Dewey SA2010333

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns that road infrastructure not suitable - too narrow, dangerous access to site and difficult access to A607. Concerns about loss of wildlife habitats and prime agricultural land. School and hospital full and no work.

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

379171 Mrs Pauline Sharpe SA2010362

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Access for this site, whether into Hough Road or West Street is very unclear from the map.

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010182

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Part of site is within CA: suggest further information and/or development brief to accompany this site allocation.

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

381276 Mr & Mrs Peter & Mar SA2010365

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Support for the limited number of houses. BUT problems of traffic onto West Street/Hough Road/Main Road.

Number **ADD6**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD6**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

381016 Mr John Roberts SA2010381 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Access into Hough Road would again add to the traffic and noise problems in Hough Road which are already potentially created by the proposed sites ADD4, ADD5 and the existing proposed sites along Hough Road.

381602 Mrs Nicola Pell SA2010373 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Out of keeping in conservation area. Access to A607 narrow and unsuitable for increase in traffic.

372618 Mr David McDonald SA2010103 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is not in a convenient location since access is currently via a narrow driveway. 13 house with a potential of 20 cars would significantly increase the health and safety risk in this area. It also adds to the traffic flow at the Hough Road /Main Road junction and significantly increases the traffic accident risk. It would seem the Conservation aspect and rules are being flouted in this proposal.

389643 Mrs Elizabeth Duncan SA2010561 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD6**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD6**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

* Too great a density. * Access - unsuitable for number of properties. West Street narrows at this point.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010701 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD7**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD7**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201043 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD7**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD7**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. Prehistoric and Roman finds close by. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010636 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

The National Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD7**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD7**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The site is within the wider setting of Belton Estate, and therefore potentially sensitive to significant development. There is concern about the allocation of this site and the likely impacts of development upon views from Belton; if such development is contemplated that it would need careful in respect of matters such as the height of development and the choice of materials.

490855 Mrs Helen Kelham SA2010655 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD7**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD7**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety and traffic impact - traffic calming measures needed.

473796 Mrs M E Chappell SA2010175 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD7**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD7**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land. The narrow roads are heavily congested, the school is over-subscribed and utilities are stretched to their limits.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010760 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD7**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD7**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (Limited bus service provision / within walking and cycling distance of Grantham town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1/A52 junction. Access issues due to location adjacent to A1).

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010637 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

The National Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD8**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD8**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Although a relatively modest sized site it is within the identified setting area of Belton and could have adverse impacts upon its significance - particularly if development included especially tall buildings.

26169 Ms J Young SA201044 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD8**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD8**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. Prehistoric and Roman finds close by. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

473796 Mrs M E Chappell SA2010176 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD8**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD8**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: roads, schools and utilities.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490855 Mrs Helen Kelham SA2010654

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD8**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD8**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety and traffic impact - traffic calming measures needed.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010702

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD8**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD8**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010676

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Affected by Barrowby Public Footpath No.7 and abutted by Barrowby Public Footpath No. 8.

474269 Mr Tim Lees SA2010229

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The largest proposed block of 572 houses (ADD9) has three possible access routes all of these are inappropriate in addition the possible small route via a break in houses on Casthorpe Road have TPO's on the trees at the front and back of this piece of land. The current utility services are already stretched and would require a major upgrade to the current systems to accommodate additional development.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490730	Mr Alan Hubbard	SA2010638	Consultee Comments (Summary)
The National Trust			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD9			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD9			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
487920	Mr C Henfrey	SA2010546	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number ADD9			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD9			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
26169	Ms J Young	SA201045	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Heritage Lincolnshire			
Consultation Point			
Number ADD9			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD9			
Nature Of Response: Observations			
<hr/>			
477576	A R Newton	SA2010376	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number ADD9			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD9			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

480891 Miss Nicola Walker SA2010444

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of unspoiled view across fields. Concerns about impact of increased traffic on quiet and peaceful streets and capacity of school. Concerns about impact on local wildlife and loss of agricultural land. Size of development would out of proportion to village.

481872 Mr M Sleaford SA2010474

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This possible site would have an overwhelming effect on the WHOLE of Barrowby. Concerns about road safety - narrow roads and dangerous bends and junction with A52 not good visibility.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010703

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010183

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Large site close to CA: further analysis of potential impacts recommended before allocation.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481767 Mr Matt Hubbard SA2010452 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Antony Aspbury Associates
Ltd

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

Since the late 1960's Barrowby village has seen more than its fair share of 'urban' style development, resulting the in uncharacteristic expansions to the east and south-east of its historic core. More development will be destructive to the village to an unacceptable degree. This site is completely landlocked and has no access to highway.

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474231 Marlene Lees SA2010199 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

Concerns about possible scale of development and impact on village infrastructure: narrow roads and congestion, utilities already stretched and require major upgrade to accommodate additional development.

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

473796 Mrs M E Chappell SA2010177 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: roads, schools and utilities.

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

485456 Jane Franklin SA2010526 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

Any further development of the village would be detrimental and a strain on the limited facilities. The school is full, the village hall too small. This site has access only via a single track road leading to the playing field.

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010762 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (Limited bus service provision / within walking and cycling distance of Grantham town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1/A52 junction. Access issues due to location adjacent to A1).

473330 Mr Glenn G Hooper SA2010330 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

ADD9 if developed would destroy Barrowby as a village, would be unnecessary over development and would cause significant traffic, utility (Water and Sewage) and environment problems

474980 Mrs Yvonne Yvonne SA2010260 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I would be strongly opposed to the development of such a large site in Barrowby mainly on account of the additional traffic that this would generate within the village.

489264 Mr & Mrs Richard & D SA2010563 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD9**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD9**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If these proposals were to go ahead, Barrowby would effectively cease to enjoy its village status and become a suburb of Grantham. Concerns about road safety because of narrow streets. School is oversubscribed already. Concerns about impact on historic landscape/character of village.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201046 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD10**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD10**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No archaeological remains recorded. Site lies in an area of interest. Prehistoric and Roman finds close by. Archaeological evaluation prior to determination of a planning application is likely to be required.

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010639 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

The National Trust

Consultation Point

Number **ADD10**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD10**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is a large linear site where in terms of the setting of Belton the ground is visible. It is therefore sensitive to significant development such as that proposed in this requested allocation. Notwithstanding the distance from Belton the Trust would therefore wish to resist development of this site on this scale.

473796 Mrs M E Chappell SA2010178 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD10**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD10**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: roads, schools and utilities.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010704 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD10**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD10**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010761 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD10**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD10**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Some potential for Sustainable Travel (Limited bus service provision / within walking and cycling distance of Grantham town centre). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1/A52 junction. Access issues due to location adjacent to A1).

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010184 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
English Heritage
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site has been allocated for employment use in the Local Plan, but residential development could have quite a different impact and the site itself remains undeveloped. Any development in this location will need to be carefully designed to avoid negative impacts and we suggest further information and/or a development brief to accompany this site allocation.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010763 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 3 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010324 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site is adjacent to Castle Bytham Quarry SSSI. Essential an ecological survey to be carried out to determine whether site contains important or protected habitats or species. (Sec 40 of Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006)

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010158 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Natural England
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is immediately situated on Castle Bytham Quarry which is designated as a SSSI. This former Lime Works quarry has been designated for its geological interest as it provides one of the most complete and significant exposures of the Upper Lincolnshire Limestone Formation. In particular rocks include an assemblage of ammonite fossils which are very rare in the Upper Lincolnshire Limestone. Natural England has already been involved with planning applications for this site, however we would object to any development which may potentially have an adverse impact on this important site. The site is currently struggling in un-favourable condition, we would therefore only consider development which has no negative effect on the SSSI and that incorporates a fully managed approach to bring this site back into favourable condition.

26169 Ms J Young SA201047 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites. Former quarry. No archaeological work required.

26302 Mrs M.T.D Cooke SA2010374 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Castle Bytham Parish Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is light industrial usage, not domestic dwellings.

490845 Mr Peter Frampton SA2010649 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Framptons
Consultation Point
Number **ADD11**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD11**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

Support for development of about 60 dwellings which would safeguard SSSI OR develop care retirement community. Either to provide 15 affordable homes.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201048 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD12**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD12**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010325 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD12**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD12**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

This site is close to Castle Bytham Quarry Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) and to Castle Bytham Station Site of Nature Conservation Importance (SNCI). The Trust would wish to be assured that development at this site would not adversely impact on the nature conservation interest of the SSSI or SNCI. In addition, as with all sites put forward for housing or employment in this document we would expect an ecological survey to be carried out on the site prior to allocation to determine whether the site has any important or protected habitats and species present. Any important habitats and species found on site should be protected and any adverse effects mitigated against. If it would not be possible to mitigate against any adverse effects then the site should not be allocated for development. The Council has a duty under Section 40 of the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006 to have regard to the conservation of biodiversity in exercising its functions.

26250 Mr Tom Genway SA2010172 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Ancer Spa
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

Benefits of this site include: excellent access from B676 and A1, site is available, can easily be screened, good public transport to surrounding area. Additional 1.144 ha of land isolated by new A1 slip roads can be added to site.

472273 Mr John Hannam SA2010120 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Colsterworth and District Parish Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This area is NOT suitable for industrial/commercial development. This area west of the A1 is more suited to residential or recreational development only. Land East of the A1 is existing industrial/commercial use and more suited for further development/expansion.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010163 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Natural England
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The site is adjacent to Twyford Wood which is an ancient woodland site. The grassland habitat is home to a regionally important colony of dingy and grizzled skipper butterflies. The butterfly reserves are managed by the Lincolnshire Branch of Butterfly Conservation. We would therefore urge that any proposed development does not result in adverse impact on this woodland.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010705 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

These are in open countryside, rural settings away from major towns and service centres. This seems an inappropriate location for development.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010766 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (More pressure on A1/A151 and A1/B6403 junctions).

490730 Mr Alan Hubbard SA2010640 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
The National Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Other**

The Trust would be concerned about the form and appearance of development on this site which potentially would adversely impact upon the tranquil rural setting associated with Woolsthorpe Manor and in particular it's importance as both the birthplace of Sir Isaac Newton and where he developed many of his most important works.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201049 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

468052 Mr Darren Cherry SA201067 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010776 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD13**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD13**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010777 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD14**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD14**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010326 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD14**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD14**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site is adjacent to Twyford Wood SNCI & Ancient Woodland. Recommend no development within at least 20m of Wood. Would expect an ecological survey to be carried out to determine whether site contains important or protected habitats or species. (Sec 40 of Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006)

26169 Ms J Young SA201050 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD14**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD14**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010706 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD14**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD14**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

These are in open countryside, rural settings away from major towns and service centres. This seems an inappropriate location for development.

484082 Ms Ann Marshall SA2010501 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
The Woodland Trust
Consultation Point
Number **ADD14**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD14**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

ADD14 is adjacent to woodland at Twyford Forest near Colsterworth and is listed as Plantation on Ancient Woodland (PAWS) on the Natural England inventory. ADD34 is adjacent to woodland at Bourne Wood (Bourne/Fox/Pillow Woods) near Bourne which is listed on Natural England's inventory as partly Ancient Semi-Natural Woodland (ASNW) and partly PAWS. There should be a buffer zone between any development and the woodlands.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

472273 Mr John Hannam SA2010119 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Colsterworth and District
Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD14**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD14**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Low level construction of commercial/industrial units acceptable. Waste Recycling of any sort is NOT acceptable.

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010162 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Natural England

Consultation Point

Number **ADD14**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD14**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

The site is adjacent to Twyford Wood which is an ancient woodland site. The grassland habitat is home to a regionally important colony of dingy and grizzled skipper butterflies. The butterfly reserves are managed by the Lincolnshire Branch of Butterfly Conservation. We would therefore urge that any proposed development does not result in adverse impact on this woodland.

477555 Mr James Taylor SA2010375 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Corby Glen Community
Primary School

Consultation Point

Number **ADD15**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD15**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Continued growth of village is a good thing, but concerns that there should be investment in the wider village to adequately support an increase in population. School would need to be extended. Pedestrian crossing other traffic calming measures would be needed.

472228 Miss Debbie Balsler SA2010118 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Corby Glen Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD15**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD15**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

The local council support the site allocation but would like their view on a couple of matters put forward. 1. That there would be provision of an adequate access road to alleviate the load on the Swinstead Road access to A151. 2. The development having a mix of properties including "first time buyer" properties for the benefit of local first time buyers, looking to buy in the village.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26104	Mr M Herbert Brown & Co Consultation Point Number ADD15 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD15 Nature Of Response: Object	SA2010707	Consultee Comments (Summary) These are in open countryside, rural settings away from major towns and service centres. This seems an inappropriate location for development.
497285	Mr Owen Walters Highways Agency Consultation Point Number ADD15 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD15 Nature Of Response: Observations	SA2010764	Consultee Comments (Summary) Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 4 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low (More pressure on A1/A151 junction).
26169	Ms J Young Heritage Lincolnshire Consultation Point Number ADD15 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD15 Nature Of Response: Observations	SA201051	Consultee Comments (Summary) No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.
497285	Mr Owen Walters Highways Agency Consultation Point Number ADD16 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD16 Nature Of Response: Observations	SA2010767	Consultee Comments (Summary) Some potential for Sustainable Travel Close to heavy rail line / limited bus service provision / within walking and cycling distance of Grantham town centre). Site approx 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (Potential for cumulative impacts to put pressure on A1/B1174 junction but residents should look towards Grantham for service provision).

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474401 Mrs Amanda Burton SA2010212 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD16** Site outside village boundary, proposed access is dangerous. Concerns about increase in traffic and affect on abundant wildlife.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD16**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

26169 Ms J Young SA201052 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD16** No recorded sites. Archaeological evaluation may be required.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD16**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

474658 Mrs Jayne Findlay SA2010232 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD16** To conclude, I feel that further development in and around Great Gonerby would be wholly inappropriate from an aesthetic, environmental and practical stance.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD16**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474503 Mrs Jane Eveleigh SA2010222 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD16** Great Gonerby cannot cope with any other housing developments of this size until YOU resolve the traffic problem
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD16**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

487965 Mr & Mrs Frank Farm SA2010555 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD16** Increased traffic and access issues. Increased noise, pollution and disruption. Increased burden on services/amenities. Loss of countryside and wildlife.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD16**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489207 Mrs Wendy Saville	SA2010558	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD16		Concerns about impact of traffic, capacity of local school, loss of countryside and impact on village identity.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD16		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
474267 Mrs Julie Handford	SA2010202	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		
Number ADD16		Concerns: intrusion into open countryside, drainage problems, impact on CA, impact of additional traffic.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD16		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
469272 Mrs Gill Noon	SA201068	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Great Gonerby parish Council		
Consultation Point		
Number ADD16		The site is a hilltop development opposite a major junction which intrudes into the countryside outside the curtailage of the village opening up a huge area of infill development if allowed.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD16		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
490730 Mr Alan Hubbard	SA2010635	Consultee Comments (Summary)
The National Trust		
Consultation Point		
Number ADD16		No objection in principle but this is quite a sizeable site that begins to extend up towards higher ground, the further to the east the greater the likelihood of new development being visible from Belton. Development in this location could have some adverse impacts upon the wider setting of the Belton Estate, e.g. if it was taller than two storeys, or if bright/reflective materials were utilised for roof surfaces.
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD16		
Nature Of Response: Observations		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490529 Mr Joseph Simmons SA2010621

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of agricultural land, poor access to site, increased burden on village amenities, capacity of school.

475637 Mr Steven Syddall SA2010298

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Grantham facilities, shops and economic prospects need improving first rather than flooding the area with more housing

475318 Mrs Heather Glenn SA2010279

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Noise Nuisance, Vibration, Pollution, Access in Congested Conservation Area, Drainage, Flooding, Nuisance, Antisocial Behaviour, Demand, Environmental Impact, Bleak North Facing Site, Away From Town, Impact on Horizon, Privacy Intrusion, Visual Intrusion, Devaluation of Property, Compensation, Council Tax Reduction

475308 Brown SA2010290

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety: access and parking problems. Need for housing not accepted and concerns about capacity of local school.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010708

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

We feel there are more suitable locations for development. Substantively the sites are very much in open countryside and away from the centre of the village which is in any event small and it does not have the range of facilities such as villages like Ancaster.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474381 Mrs Dallas Rose SA2010399

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns: increased traffic, burden on local schools, loss of countryside, affect on local housing and job markets.

478848 Mr & Mrs M & J Cund SA2010402

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about increased traffic, access issues, capacity of local infrastructure and amenities and wildlife. If this goes ahead there will be an island of land cut off. This has had planning permission withdrawn due to objections in the recent past this opens itself up for infill building.

479128 Mr Grant Brendon SA2010410

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact of increased traffic, loss of countryside and capacity of local infrastructure.

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010677

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Affected by Great Gonerby Public Footpath No. 2.

489301 Mr Robert Gibbons SA2010589

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD16**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD16**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Increased traffic and access issues. Noise pollution and disruption. Loss to the countryside and wildlife issues. Increased burden on local amenities/services/schools.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475736	Ben Stevenson	SA2010331	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD17		I Wish to register my objection to the proposed site in Harlaxton, Grantham. Firstly my fears are toward traffic congestion along Swine Hill having a Doctor's surgery and a Primary School there, this would only lead to more traffic and certainly road safety issues with the School being opposite the entrance to the proposed site. Also the road and village is already used by heavy goods traffic as a means to cut through from the A1 to the A607 and vice-versa. The site itself is a part of Lincolnshire countryside, not some disused industrial site that could be made better use of. Lastly I feel that Harlaxton as done its bit with the recently new site on the other side of the A607 adjacent to the Gregory inn. Many thanks, Ben Stevenson
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD17		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
432474	Mrs M Ashley	SA2010557	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD17		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD17		
Nature Of Response:			
<hr/>			
481645	Mr J Bacon	SA2010446	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD17		I strongly object to the proposed increase of houses in Harlaxton. The water pressure is insufficient, the electricity supply is erratic, the sewage system is incapable of being expanded and there are only two roads that exit the site - one being full of pot-holes and the other crossing the A607 - surely this would require a set of traffic lights.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD17		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
481628	Mr & Mrs M & M Tho	SA2010445	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD17		Development would add to localised flooding issues. Concerns about road safety - Swinehill becomes very congested because of the school.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD17		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
475631	Mr Nick Birch	SA2010297	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD17		Parish Council Design Statement (2004) approved by SKDC states that "the community of Harlaxton is opposed to any major development within the village". Housing stock of village already increased by 10% (2008). In 2009 over 90% of villages expressed opposition to this site being developed. In addition, concerns about flooding and road safety.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD17		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490721	Valerie Burns	SA2010634	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns that Swinehill is too narrow to accommodate more traffic. Concerns about capacity of school and flood issues.
Number ADD17			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD17			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
474119	mrs marie marshall	SA2010192	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			I strongly oppose the proposed siting of a housing estate due to the access into the site and the obvious safety issues this will have upon the children using the school and the existing villagers. The environmental impact would be negative due to lack of services in the village and an increased amount of traffic entering and leaving the village.
Number ADD17			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD17			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
372928	Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool	SA2010185	Consultee Comments (Summary)
English Heritage			
Consultation Point			Concerns about impact on setting of Harlaxton CA and Harlaxton Park (Grade II* Registered Park & Garden), with potential impact on views from Harlaxton Manor and other listed buildings. Site will need further assessment to ascertain the likely impact of development on the above features. It may transpire that it is unsuitable for allocation.
Number ADD17			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD17			
Nature Of Response: Observations			
<hr/>			
478870	Mr John Hollingswort	SA2010403	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			This site is prone to flooding with run off via the mowbeck causing flooding in the lower village. Additional tarmac roads/paths would increase this problem. The roads in Harlaxton are very narrow. Additional traffic would be a safety and environment issue with the village school and the doctors surgery and the entrance to the site at the bottom of Swinehill it would be very dangerous.
Number ADD17			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD17			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
372177	mr jeroen jansen	SA2010210	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Development on this site will irreversly negatively impact the character of the village. There are other sites, both in Harlaxton and elsewhere, that are more suitable.
Number ADD17			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD17			
Nature Of Response: Object			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

487910 Diane & Marjorie Cha SA2010544

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Object to size of development - will increase village by 50%. Concerns about flooding and parking.

490534 Miss T S Watkin SA2010624

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The village has already, I believe, reached its maximum size. Concerns about increased traffic on narrow roads and flooding.

480820 Mrs A L Snelling SA2010431

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Harlaxton does not have the facilities or space to accommodate such a large development. The village is subject to electricity cuts and flooding. Concerns about road safety and impact on character of the village.

490540 M E Marshman SA2010625

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about increase in traffic and impact on character of village.

480809 A G Beesley SA2010429

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety: narrow road and heavy traffic and flooding issues.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474303 Mr Graham Chinn SA2010203 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Major traffic flow problems

474230 Mr Fred Hand SA2010201 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I would like to keep our village as a village, retaining its present character and size.

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010709 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is not a site we consider suitable for development for similar reasons to those as detailed at ADD3, 4 5 and 6 above.

406081 Mr E B Richardson SA2010626 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

The land is not the best farming land. So I think this site should be considered when thinking about building in Harlaxton.

473738 Mr Roger Scott SA2010173 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I strongly object to the approval of this development on the grounds of increased flood risk, serious health and safety concerns and the destruction of the character of this conservation village.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

473670 Mr Godfrey Ralph Ow SA2010160

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Strongest possible objections for the stated reasons. Any further changes to Harlaxton should be aimed at balancing the spread by developing North of the A607 in the Drift area, where the reasons for objection do not apply..

476538 Fran Barker SA2010358

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village in danger of losing its character because of 'in-filling' and other recent housing developments. Concerns about infrastructure: field frequently floods and development would affect water table in the village itself. Concerns about traffic impacts - school and surgery already mean congestion on Swinehill.

474985 Mrs Tina Mayo SA2010259

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about road safety - narrow road, busy with school traffic. Development will alter dynamics of village, making it less secure.

490013 Suzanne Birch SA2010601

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village Design Statement (adopted by SKDC) states that "the community of Harlaxton is opposed to any major development within the village or its surroundings". Since 2008 housing stock has increased by 30%. The site floods and the roads are narrow.

473129 Dr Andrew Miller SA2010133

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact on character of village which has had a lot of recent development. Concerns that village amenities being stretched. Concerns about road safety and impact of increased traffic. Area prone to flooding.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490041 Mr Robin Mills SA2010606 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

473460 Mrs Victoria Kimming SA2010134 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

26169 Ms J Young SA201053 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

489298 Mr Richard Miller SA2010588 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

475360 mr paul procter SA2010289 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

405680 Mr Adrian Pearson SA2010130

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this proposal because of narrow road to the access and liability of flooding.

372738 Mr Peter Hawkins SA2010167

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Concern about road safety - narrow road which serves busy school and surgery. Need for further housing in Harlaxton must be questioned.

474999 Miss Susie McCahon SA2010516

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about traffic: congestion already near school and surgery. Concerns about loss of open countryside. There are flooding issues in the area.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010765

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = Medium (More pressure on A1/A607 junction).

474768 Mrs Barbara Lawry SA2010238

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

It would be impossible to create suitable infrastructure

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474731 mr kevin lawry SA2010237 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this development site because it would increase the village flooding problems, be a safety issue for traffic on Swinehill and lastly it would change the character of a special conservation village forever.

475820 mrs wendy whitton SA2010541 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Road safety concerns: congestion caused by parking for school and surgery.

487877 Mr & Mrs P Lewis SA2010543 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about loss of views, flooding in centre of village, road safety issues and effect on character of village.

473534 Miss stella garrill SA2010142 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Strongly object, due to traffic flow and character of village

474665 Mr Neil Stacey SA2010234 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD17**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD17**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

The village of Harlaxton should be preserved to allow those that wish an alternative to living on top of each other in urban developments the choice to do so. Once lost, the character of these villages is impossible to re build.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474660 MR PETER EDGOOS SA2010233

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

Frequent flooding at the lower end of Swinehill village shop area will be increased. Swinehill road is narrow does not cope with the traffic at present especially at school times. Does the sewer work have the capacity to cope with extra housing? The village will lose its character. This site is a medieval field should be kept as is .

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475643 Miss Rachel Huxford SA2010304

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

The access is entirely unsuitable. This development will spoil a beautiful green field site. Harlaxton has recently played host to a new housing development.

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474627 M J Curtis SA2010231

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD17**

Type: **site**

Title:

In my opinion a development of this size would not be in character with the conservation village and extensive changes would be needed to the road and access facilities etc.

SiteReference: **ADD17**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb SA2010586

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

This appears to be totally unacceptable, this is a residential estate, and the roads are very narrow barely room for two cars to pass each other on road.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490544 Mrs Tamasin Craven SA2010627

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

Site is outside village boundary and too close to A1. Concerns about loss of privacy of nearby houses. Concerns about impact on drainage system, school and surgery. Surely it would be better to build more houses in villages that are struggling to keep their school, shops and public houses open?

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201054 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

472294 mrs kay coleman SA2010123 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point no more building in long bennington its a village which we paid a premium to live in
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

489383 Mr D G Clay SA2010597 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point Proposal is outside village "envelope". Concerns about capacity of sewage/drainage system and impact of increased traffic.
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

490027 Lauren Lea Fielder SA2010604 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point Concerns about flooding in Elm Close area. Concerns about impact of increased traffic and capacity of school and medical centre.
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010580 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point Where would access be from Main Road? If through existing Pasture Road, it would be totally unacceptable as the road is winding and barely wide enough for two vehicles to pass each other.
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490060 Mr & Mrs T & B Pykett SA2010609

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Difficult to access - narrow winding road, and drainage already a problem.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010404

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

If only a fraction of the potential capacity of 236 houses are built this would effect the sewage/surface water drainage systems which cannot cope after heavy rainfalls. Surgery and school are already at capacity and traffic has increased dramatically.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010570

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

a) Both these sites are too close to the A1 - this land should be retained as farming land - in the future the A1 may have to be widened to more lanes and this will take up some of the land and the remainder to be planted as a buffer to noise with screening trees. b) Very poor drainage.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490684 Mr & Mrs A & L Flinn SA2010630

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Object on the grounds of sustainability. The drains, sewerage, school, roads, new doctor's surgery, public transport, shops and amenities and even the size of the village hall will all need improving and the planned developments will exceed the village envelope. Added to this we cannot see anyone wanting to live as close to the A1 as on site reference ADD18 and ADD19, the road noise, fumes and vibration from the traffic will be unbearable.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490498 Mr & Mrs Geoff Spenc SA2010613

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Development of these sites would significantly increase the size of the village envelope and change the character of the locality. Concerns about capacity of sewerage/drainage systems, school, surgery etc. Site too near to A1. Road safety concerns.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010768 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Highways Agency
Consultation Point
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1 junctions to north and south of the town).

472886 Mr. Eric Sands SA2010128 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

An historic and tranquil village inappropriate for further significant housing development which would destroy its character. This objection is primarily on existing facilities, infrastructure and environmental grounds,

490501 Mr & Mrs C & S Kings SA2010616 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: school, doctors surgery, flooding issues, road safety issues.

474982 Mr Simon Craven SA2010628 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

The development of large areas of land immediately alongside one of the country's major trunk roads seems particularly short sighted as the future national needs and interests of the country will change over time. Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Development should be within village envelope. Concerns about capacity of local school, surgery, road network and drainage.

484062 Mr & Mrs T & L Capes SA2010496 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD18**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD18**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about capacity of school, surgery, drainage (problems at present) and impact of increased traffic.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen SA2010248 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

strongly object

483219 Mr & Mrs A & Y Rididi SA2010481 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Scale of development too great for village. It would increase flooding problems. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery and public transport links.

475316 Mr David Petersen SA2010277 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Unsustainable due to scale, and effect upon resources

474982 Mr Simon Craven SA2010256 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

the total number of house sites identified for Long Bennington is excessive and constitutes nearly doubling the current size of the village.

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010520 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development would double the population of the village. Site is beyond the curtilage of the village. There are concerns about capacity of the school and surgery to accommodate more development. Extra traffic would create road safety issues.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474979 Mrs Heather O'Sullivan SA2010258

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Long Bennington cannot sustain further proposed development sites.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474847 Mrs Elaine Winter SA2010245

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Traffic congestion Amenities Village status

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475104 Mr John Winter SA2010267

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Traffic noise Accidents Access

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

480851 Mr Nick Kay SA2010441

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Village infrastructure/facilities could not cope with more than a handful of new homes. These sites are an unacceptable intrusion into the countryside, meaning a loss of farming land and "creeping" towards Foston.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010678

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Lincolnshire County Council

Consultation Point

Affected by Long Bennington Public Footpath Nos. 4 and 14.

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26363 Mrs Jane Evans SA2010504 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Long Bennington Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

These sites would extend the envelope of the village substantially to the South. They are immediately proximate to the A1, leading to issues of noise and pollution disturbance. There are substantial access issues with these sites.

491134 Mr & Mrs J M & M E B SA2010685 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Section ADD18 lies beyond the village envelope and will result in an unwanted extension to the village boundaries. It has only a narrow entry/exit point to and from The Pastures and the additional traffic load through a narrow and twisting road will make an existing problem worse.

469769 Mr Alan Clark SA201071 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site too close to the A1 for noise.

482271 Mr Lynn Thomas SA2010475 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Number of houses proposed is far too high compared to the number suggested by SKDC. Current services; doctors, school, local store could not cope with such massive increases in houses and people. Water supply and drainage would be completely overstretched.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010434

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

We feel that the village cannot support this large number of houses because over the last few years the village has been saturated with additional housing on various brown field sites. Also there would be a problem with access. Another factor is the flooding which occurs in the village, which has yet to be sorted out between Anglian Water and Severn Trent.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474986 mr. gerald elms SA2010261

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

Far too large a site for development - it is a green belt area.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

487954 Mr Dennis Ward SA2010551

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

Development of the whole of or even part of this site would amount to urban sprawl totally out of keeping with a village environment which is already becoming over-developed bringing with it the usual problems of public services and traffic conditions. These fears have already been debated on a number of previous occasions.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

481749 Mr & Mrs D Holden SA2010449

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

Concerns about increased traffic, capacity of school, doctors surgery and sewerage system.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489268 Mr Richard Marshall SA2010565

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

Lack of access. Increased traffic. Additional drainage required. Overburdening of village services e.g. Doctor and School.

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010310

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Adjacent to the A1 Major trunk road with possibilities of major accidents/tanker explosions, chemical spillages etc. Danger to residents. Very large development, not keeping within a "village" environment.

474285 Mr Colin Deeley SA2010355

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about drainage - Long Bennington already suffers problems which the water companies seem unwilling or unable to improve. Concerns about road safety (proximity to A1), capacity of doctors and school and impact on nearby residential properties.

490850 Mrs M Bevans SA2010650

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The area being considered is outside the "boundary" line of the village and will impact greatly in terms of additional traffic, noise and our village services. I feel that the village is becoming over developed with all "spare" land being put up for development.

490831 Mr Noel Huitson SA2010645

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The Huitson Family

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The proposed sites extend the village envelope beyond what is reasonable and in fact should be regarded as outside the village. Concerns about capacity of sewerage/drainage systems. Concerns about road safety and traffic impact.

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010216

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010316 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

480795 Mr Graham Chesebro SA2010423 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010411 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD18**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD18**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

474285 Mr Colin Deeley SA2010356 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

482271 Mr Lynn Thomas SA2010476 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village cannot support any more development. Concerns with inadequate surface water and sewerage capacity, high volume of traffic and capacity of school and surgery.

Concerns about capacity of drainage and road infrastructure to cope with an increase of 35% in population. Site is outside village boundary and has restricted access.

Concerns about drainage - Long Bennington already suffers problems which the water companies seem unwilling or unable to improve. Concerns about road safety (proximity to A1), capacity of doctors and school and impact on nearby residential properties.

Number of houses proposed is far too high compared to the number suggested by SKDC. Current services; doctors, school, local store could not cope with such massive increases in houses and people. Water supply and drainage would be completely overstretched.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474847 Mrs Elaine Winter SA2010246 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Village services Surface water Additional traffic/population
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

489268 Mr Richard Marshall SA2010566 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Lack of access. Increased traffic. Addtional drainage required. Overburdening of village services e.g. Doctor and School.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

469769 Mr Alan Clark SA201072 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Too close to A1 for noise and unnatural extension of village
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474982 Mr Simon Craven SA2010629 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** The development of large areas of land immediately alongside one of the country's major trunk roads seems particularly short sighted as the future national needs and interests of the country will change over time. Concerns about loss of agricultural land. Development should be within village envelope. Concerns about capacity of local school, surgery, road network and drainage.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010435 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** This village has been saturated with additional housing. The site is beyond the village, in the open countryside and access is a problem (very close to A1 slip road and roundabout serving Foston).
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490501 Mr & Mrs C & S Kings SA2010617

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: school, doctors surgery, flooding issues, road safety issues.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490498 Mr & Mrs Geoff Spenc SA2010614

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Development of these sites would significantly increase the size of the village envelope and change the character of the locality. Concerns about capacity of sewerage/drainage systems, school, surgery etc. Site too near to A1. Road safety concerns.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

484062 Mr & Mrs T & L Capes SA2010497

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about capacity of school, surgery, drainage (problems at present) and impact of increased traffic.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

490684 Mr & Mrs A & L Flinn SA2010631

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Object on the grounds of sustainability. The drains, sewerage, school, roads, new doctor's surgery, public transport, shops and amenities and even the size of the village hall will all need improving and the planned developments will exceed the village envelope. Added to this we cannot see anyone wanting to live as close to the A1 as on site reference ADD18 and ADD19, the road noise, fumes and vibration from the traffic will be unbearable.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

484011 Mr G E Hamblett SA2010489

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about size of development and capacity of school. Concerns about impact on local roads.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481749 Mr & Mrs D Holden SA2010448

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about increased traffic, capacity of school, doctors surgery and sewerage system.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010312

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Adjacent to the Major A1 trunk road with possibilities of major accidents/tanker explosions, chemical spillages etc. Danger to local residents. Very large development, not keeping within a "village" environment.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

483219 Mr & Mrs A & Y Riddi SA2010482

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Scale of development too great for village. It would increase flooding problems. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery and public transport links.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

480851 Mr Nick Kay SA2010442

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Village infrastructure/facilities could not cope with more than a handful of new homes. These sites are an unacceptable intrusion into the countryside, meaning a loss of farming land and "creeping" towards Foston.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010405

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

If only a fraction of the potential capacity of 236 houses are built this would effect the sewage/surface water drainage systems which cannot cope after heavy rainfalls. Surgery and school are already at capacity and traffic has increased dramatically.

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010769 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1 junctions to north and south of the town).

475138 Mr Anthony Burton SA2010271 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

As above

490850 Mrs M Bevans SA2010651 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The area being considered is outside the "boundary" line of the village and will impact greatly in terms of additional traffic, noise and our village services. I feel that the village is becoming over developed with all "spare" land being put up for development.

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010576 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Low lying - drainage a problem. Noise from A1 insupportable for residents. Village sewerage antiquated system - couldn't cope with much more.

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010215 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen	SA2010249	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		This site combined with ADD19 will have major impact on village changing the whole nature of the place. There will be need for extra schools. surgery and the existing roads will be inadequate especially as there is only one access to A1 northwards.
Number ADD19		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD19		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
480795 Mr Graham Chesebro	SA2010424	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		Village cannot support any more development. Concerns with inadequate surface water and sewerage capacity, high volume of traffic and capacity of school and surgery.
Number ADD19		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD19		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb	SA2010582	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		Low lying area. Sewage system for village unable to cope with further requirements.
Number ADD19		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD19		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
489383 Mr D G Clay	SA2010598	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		Proposal is outside village "envelope". Concerns about capacity of sewage/drainage system and impact of increased traffic.
Number ADD19		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD19		
Nature Of Response: Object		
<hr/>		
487954 Mr Dennis Ward	SA2010552	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point		Development of the whole of or even part of this site would amount to urban sprawl totally out of keeping with a village environment which is already becoming over-developed bringing with it the usual problems of public services and traffic conditions. An already large village would almost become a small town. Further, this site must surely be outside the curtilage of the village and if planning permission was to be granted this could open the floodgates to similar applications.
Number ADD19		
Type: site		
Title:		
SiteReference: ADD19		
Nature Of Response: Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

490027 Lauren Lea Fielder SA2010603

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about flooding in Elm Close area. Concerns about impact of increased traffic and capacity of school and medical centre.

472886 Mr. Eric Sands SA2010129

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

An historic and tranquil village inappropriate for further significant housing development which would destroy its character. This objection is primarily on existing facilities, infrastructure and environmental grounds,

475104 Mr John Winter SA2010268

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village environment Flooding Sewage

474982 Mr Simon Craven SA2010257

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site size will dramatically alter the village character and affect the infrastructure. Any redevelopment should be within village envelope, and linear extensions avoided. Concerns about increased flooding and traffic and capacity of school and doctors.

472294 mrs kay coleman SA2010122

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Long Bennington is big enough. The doctors are a nightmare to get to at present. The drains are shocking. The traffic will be horrendous. This is a village not a town.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

491089 Mr C Miller SA2010679 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Lincolnshire County Council
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Affected by Long Bennington Public Footpath Nos. 4 and 14.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010571 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Site too near A1 - should stay as farmland and buffer if A1 widened in future. Very poor drainage.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010412 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Site is outside village boundary. Development would increase population by 80% and require new school, major road improvements and increase flooding.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

475316 Mr David Petersen SA2010278 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD19** Unsustainable due to scale, and effect upon resources
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD19**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201055 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Lies within the historic settlement of Long Bennington . Archaeological evaluation may be required

491134 Mr & Mrs J M & M E B SA2010686 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Section ADD19 lies beyond the village envelope and will result in an unwanted extension to the village boundaries.

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010521 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development would double the population of the village. Site is beyond the curtilage of the village. There are concerns about capacity of the school and surgery to accommodate more development. Extra traffic would create road safety issues.

474986 mr. gerald elms SA2010264 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD19**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD19**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site too large. Long Bennington should remain a village. There are drainage problems and facilities would not be able to cope with extra properties.

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010413 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

A possible area but could still affect the drainage and infrastructure.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

481749 Mr & Mrs D Holden SA2010450

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about increased traffic, capacity of school, doctors surgery and sewerage system.

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

479173 Mr James Coleman SA2010419

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerned about loss of village character and capacity of services (surgery, school, drainage system). Concerns about traffic impact and affects on nearby properties (all bungalows).

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

472294 mrs kay coleman SA2010121

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

I would also like the area checked for newts as one was found when we built our extension 3 years ago

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

480805 Mr A D Thornton SA2010428

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about inadequate sewerage system, capacity of local school and surgery and road infrastructure. Development should be restricted to bungalows.

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

476527 Mr & Mrs S Carr SA2010357

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Concerns about impact on village, especially school and doctors surgery capacity. Concerns about flooding and drainage - site prone to water-logging. Concerns about impact of two-storey properties on adjacent bungalows.

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010436 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD20**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD20**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

The proposed site is very narrow therefore close to the existing bungalows in Elm Close and again access would be a problem.

480812 Mrs A M Miller SA2010430 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD20**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD20**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns include: capacity of drainage system, flooding on nearby land, capacity of village school and increase in traffic.

480795 Mr Graham Chesebro SA2010425 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD20**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD20**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village cannot support any more development. Concerns with inadequate surface water and sewerage capacity, high volume of traffic and capacity of school and surgery.

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010519 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD20**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD20**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

We wish to object to this site since it is beyond the curtilage of the village. It could potentially be the start of a spread of the village to the A1 trunk road. This would detract from the 'village', enlarging it to become a small town.

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010569 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD20**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD20**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Greenfield land - should not be taken away from crop-growing. Drainage problems.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

487954 Mr Dennis Ward SA2010553

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

As it stands this area of the village affords the only attractive approach to it and development on this land would detract from that. Further, any entry to the site would have to be from the main road as the cul-de-sac adjacent to my property would not be suitable from my own experience. If any development were to be allowed this should only be of a one storey nature. In any event my understanding is that this land is outside the village curtilage.

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010217

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010577

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Low-lying very wet. Existing bungalows in Elm Close have flooded gardens. Further development would exacerbate this.

490850 Mrs M Bevans SA2010652

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The area being considered is outside the "boundary" line of the village and will impact greatly in terms of additional traffic, noise and our village services. I feel that the village is becoming over developed with all "spare" land being put up for development.

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen SA2010250

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site will extend the village boundaries.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb SA2010583

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Gardens frequently flooded. Further distress due to development unacceptable.

474986 mr. gerald elms SA2010262

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is in an area of bungalows with mostly pensioners living there. It would be completely inappropriate to build on this green open space.

475138 Mr Anthony Burton SA2010272

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Unacceptable site

475312 Mr Paul Biddulph SA2010276

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Nearby properties are bungalows - concerns about possible overlooking and loss of privacy. Concerns about road safety - speed of vehicles coming from A1. Field hedges contain many birds.

490060 Mr & Mrs T & B Pykett SA2010610

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Very narrow site, unsuitable for 2 storey houses. Poor drainage.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169	Ms J Young	SA201056	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Heritage Lincolnshire			
Consultation Point			Lies within the historic settlement of Long Bennington. Archaeological evaluation may be required
Number ADD20			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD20			
Nature Of Response: Observations			
<hr/>			
490501	Mr & Mrs C & S Kings	SA2010618	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns about capacity of infrastructure: school, doctors surgery, flooding issues, road safety issues.
Number ADD20			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD20			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
490027	Lauren Lea Fielder	SA2010605	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns about flooding in Elm Close area. Concerns about impact of increased traffic and capacity of school and medical centre.
Number ADD20			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD20			
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
490054	Mr & Mrs G Berrow	SA2010607	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			The building of two storey houses behind bungalows on a wedge of land which narrows considerably at the far end would impact unfavourable on the privacy of the bungalows. One wonders how it would be possible to get a road and houses on this land?
Number ADD20			
Type: site			
Title:			
SiteReference: ADD20			
Nature Of Response: Object			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26363	Mrs Jane Evans	SA2010505	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Long Bennington Parish Council			
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD20		Inappropriate due to the shape and an unproven need. Substantial potential overlooking problems to current adjacent bungalows. Potential flooding problems.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD20		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
484062	Mr & Mrs T & L Capes	SA2010495	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD20		Concerns that two-storey houses would impact on adjacent bungalows. Concerns about capacity of school, surgery, drainage (problems at present) and impact of increased traffic.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD20		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
484011	Mr G E Hamblett	SA2010488	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD20		I object to houses being built on this site as they would be an invasion of our privacy. To build houses on this small plot would mean we are constantly overlooked being a bungalow. The adjacent field to this paddock was refused building permission on the grounds that houses built there would spoil the approach to the village, surely this would apply here. Furthermore the village amenities are already over-stretched, long waits at the surgery apply, the school is overloaded, and the roads are a hazard to cross, more population - more transport. I trust the Council will look kindly on my objection, because as a senior citizen and veteran of WW2 we would like to enjoy the peace and tranquility we have had in the 32 years we have lived here.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD20		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
483219	Mr & Mrs A & Y Riddi	SA2010483	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD20		Scale of development too great for village. It would increase flooding problems. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery and public transport links.
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD20		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010406

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this site even though it has a lot smaller housing capacity, in the belief that a moratorium of house building take place to allow the utility companies and highways authorities to solve the problems that already exist.

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010313

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

If approved, only bungalows should be allowed to complement the adjacent properties.

490498 Mr & Mrs Geoff Spenc SA2010615

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Development of these sites would significantly increase the size of the village envelope and change the character of the locality. Concerns about capacity of sewerage/drainage systems, school, surgery etc. Site is ribbon development which would be difficult to integrate successfully. Road safety concerns.

475316 Mr David Petersen SA2010280

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD20**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD20**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Ribbon Development

26169 Ms J Young SA201057

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010568

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

Central village in-fill. Conditions should encourage larger gardens than has been the case with recent housing in Long Bennington. Ideal site for BUNGALOWS - much needed for older residents.

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen SA2010251

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site in centre of village is more acceptable although these extra homes will add to the problems already encountered with the sewage and waste water.

482271 Mr Lynn Thomas SA2010477

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The area has already received more planning permissions for the village than the SKDC indicated were needed.

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010315

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Another junction required onto the busy Main Road and adjacent to the school which is already a hazardous area. This location is also subject to flooding.

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010517

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD21**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD21**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

No objection in principle but major concerns about impact on village. There are sewage and drainage/surface water problems.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010437 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD21**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD21**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is very close to the primary school which already has a problem with the traffic from all the additional houses that have been built around this area in recent years. Flooding in the village is also a problem.

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010414 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD21**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD21**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

Infill area but would have a localised impact.

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010407 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD21**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD21**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this site even though it has a lot smaller housing capacity, in the belief that a moratorium of house building take place to allow the utility companies and highways authorities to solve the problems that already exist.

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010218 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD21**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD21**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.

474986 mr. gerald elms SA2010263 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Green field site very close to A1 and Vicarage Lane is not suitable for any further traffic.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010518 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23** No objection in principle but major concerns about impact on village. There are sewage and drainage/surface water problems.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

26169 Ms J Young SA201058 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23** No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010219 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23** Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010415 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23** Infill area but would have a localised impact.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

480795 Mr Graham Chesebro SA2010426 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD23** Village cannot support any more development. Concerns with inadequate surface water and sewerage capacity, high volume of traffic and capacity of school and surgery.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD23**
Nature Of Response: **Support**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010567

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD23**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD23**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Drainage - is a BIG problem in Long Bennington. Excess noise from A1 - too close to major road.

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010408

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD23**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD23**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

I object to this site even though it has a lot smaller housing capacity, in the belief that a moratorium of house building take place to allow the utility companies and highways authorities to solve the problems that already exist.

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010438

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD23**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD23**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

As this is a very small site we have no objections to this.

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen SA2010252

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD23**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD23**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site in centre of village is more acceptable although these extra homes will add to the problems already encountered with the sewage and waste water.

490831 Mr Noel Huitson SA2010646

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The Huitson Family

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

My objections are based solely on the need to limit the amount of commercial vehicles driving through both ends of the village, before a serious accident happens.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen SA2010253 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD24** this development will cause major problems with traffic with the inadequate access to the A1
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD24**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010220 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD24** Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD24**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

475316 Mr David Petersen SA2010281 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD24** Road Safety / Traffic Issues - requires new design for A1 junction
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD24**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

491134 Mr & Mrs J M & M E B SA2010687 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD24** Section ADD24 zoned for employment use seems particularly unattractive since there is more than adequate capacity available within the Rosemount Estate. Already too much heavy traffic through the village.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD24**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

469769 Mr Alan Clark SA201073 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD24** Not in character for employment site. Should be sufficient in ADD 25
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD24**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

483219 Mr & Mrs A & Y Riddi SA2010486

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Scale of development too great for village. It would increase flooding problems. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery and public transport links.

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010522

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about potential traffic impact: conflict between cars on slip road and lorries turning into the site. The site is beyond the curtilage of the village.

482271 Mr Lynn Thomas SA2010478

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The village is already suffering from the extra road and heavy goods traffic from the existing industrial areas. The village character would be further degraded by extra industrial building on existing farmland.

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010319

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Increase in heavy traffic from these sites. New slip road giving direct access from Business Park onto A1 Northbound is required. HGV's should be banned from travelling through the village

480834 Mr & Mrs K Brown SA2010439

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site should NOT be considered until the Highway Department builds a slip straight to the A1 from Roseland Business Park because all the additional traffic these sites would bring means more large lorries through the village.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

480795 Mr Graham Chesebro SA2010427

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Long Bennington village and the south A1 slip road could not possibly cope with the volume of traffic using this site. So unless a separate A1 access to and from the site is built I would strongly object.

478881 Mr Donald Rouse SA2010409

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Should increase employment opportunities but traffic increase would be detrimental to the village. Long Bennington seems to have more than its fair share of sites which do not benefit the village.

26363 Mrs Jane Evans SA2010506

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Long Bennington Parish Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Given there is enough land zoned already, this additional site is unnecessary.

489268 Mr Richard Marshall SA2010564

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Lack of access. Additional drainage required. Pressure on village services.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb SA2010584

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The village is greatly disturbed by HEAVY TRAFFIC IGNORING present system hence more accidents and speeding. MAYBE A FOOLPROOF proposal to redirect heavy traffic onto A1 would be of some help.

490060 Mr & Mrs T & B Pykett SA2010611

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Already far too much heavy traffic on Main Road. There needs to be access to the A1 north at the Roselands business park and not through the village.

26169 Ms J Young SA201059

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites Archaeological evaluation may be required

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010578

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Extra heavy vehicles using the village for access is unacceptable because of vibration damage to properties, speeding will cause more accidents. If heavy traffic can have access directly from A1, there would be no objection.

489383 Mr D G Clay SA2010599

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Proposal is outside village "envelope". Concerns about capacity of sewage/drainage system and impact of increased traffic.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010572

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Village cannot take additional traffic. Land should be used for agricultural purposes. It is outside the village curtilage.

490501 Mr & Mrs C & S Kings SA2010619

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Employment land south of Sewston Lane ADD24 - Development of this land with access on to the off slip road from the Northbound A1 would seem a dangerous proposition with regard to the high risk of accidents.

479132 Mr Robert Williams SA2010416

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD24**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD24**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The impact on the infrastructure of any major industrial development in terms of heavy traffic volumes health and safety issues with volume density in a populated area as has been proven with the existing estate.

475316 Mr David Petersen SA2010282

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Massive expansion - would require the A1 junction to be redesigned

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010186

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Has potential to impact on wider historic landscape depending on exact scale and appearance. To be considered: Normanton CA (W), Bennington Grange Moated Site (SE), Thackson's Well Farm (nearby) & views from Belvoir Castle, Belton House & Harlaxton Manor. Importance of views across the rural landscape will need to be properly assessed.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

469769	Mr Alan Clark	SA201074	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			A good opportunity for increased employment but should only be granted if road junction and access to A1 is improved by provision of full north and south access to A1
Number	ADD25		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD25		
Nature Of Response:	Support with conditions		
<hr/>			
489383	Mr D G Clay	SA2010600	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Proposal is outside village "envelope". Concerns about capacity of sewage/drainage system and impact of increased traffic.
Number	ADD25		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD25		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
479132	Mr Robert Williams	SA2010417	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			The impact on the infrastructure of any major industrial development in terms of heavy traffic volumes health and safety issues with volume density in a populated area as has been proven with the existing estate.
Number	ADD25		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD25		
Nature Of Response:	Object		
<hr/>			
482271	Mr Lynn Thomas	SA2010479	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			The village is already suffering from the extra road and heavy goods traffic from the existing industrial areas. The village character would be further degraded by extra industrial building on existing farmland.
Number	ADD25		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD25		
Nature Of Response:	Object		

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26363	Mrs Jane Evans Long Bennington Parish Council <i>Consultation Point</i> Number ADD25 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD25 Nature Of Response: Object	SA2010508	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i> The size of this suggested site is completely disproportionate and inappropriate for the local area and would present serious traffic issues.
480834	Mr & Mrs K Brown <i>Consultation Point</i> Number ADD25 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD25 Nature Of Response: Object	SA2010440	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i> This site should NOT be considered until the Highway Department builds a slip straight to the A1 from Roseland Business Park because all the additional traffic these sites would bring means more large lorries through the village.
483219	Mr & Mrs A & Y Riddi <i>Consultation Point</i> Number ADD25 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD25 Nature Of Response: Object	SA2010485	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i> Scale of development too great for village. It would increase flooding problems. Concerns about capacity of school and surgery and public transport links.
497285	Mr Owen Walters Highways Agency <i>Consultation Point</i> Number ADD25 Type: site Title: SiteReference: ADD25 Nature Of Response: Observations	SA2010770	<i>Consultee Comments (Summary)</i> Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site less than 1 mile from SRN. Impact on SRN = High (More pressure on A1 junctions to north and south of the town).

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201060 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD25** No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD25**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

485045 Mr Allan Nicholl SA2010523 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD25** Object on road safety grounds: no suitable access to A1 and traffic and from site causes disturbances in the village.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD25**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

475641 Mr Michael Preston SA2010328 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD25** These comments apply to ALL the Long Bennington sites:- It will no longer be a village if 200 more houses are built. Surgery and school are struggling to meet demands. Drainage & sewerage systems would not cope. AW/STW are unhelpful, do not provide information and fail to action ongoing problems. The character of the village would be lost.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD25**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

490501 Mr & Mrs C & S Kings SA2010620 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD25** Unacceptable increase of heavy traffic through the village and increased risk of a major accident.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD25**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

489273 Mrs Linda Reeves SA2010573 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD25** Village cannot take additional traffic. Land should be used for agricultural purposes. It is outside the village curtilage.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD25**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474454 Mrs Susan Brackenb SA2010221

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Major concerns about capacity of village and infrastructure to support additional development.

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

473661 Ms Sally Murray SA2010159

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Natural England

Consultation Point

Concern This suggested employment site is of considerable size and scale and raises concerns in relation to landscape and visual impact in a dispersed settlement area. Impacts on the transport network and rural roads is also of noteworthy concern.

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Other**

475138 Mr Anthony Burton SA2010273

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

This site is outside the existing village envelope and as such should not be considered

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

475104 Mr John Winter SA2010269

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Increase in traffic leading to potential for accidents outside a primary school./

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

489282 Mrs Joy Jordan SA2010579

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Extra heavy vehicles using the village for access is unacceptable because of vibration damage to properties, speeding will cause more accidents. If heavy traffic can have access directly from A1, and is banned from village, there would be no objections.

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

474851 Mrs Avis Bullen

SA2010254

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

this development will cause major problems with traffic with the inadequate access to the A1

490831 Mr Noel Huitson

SA2010647

Consultee Comments (Summary)

The Huitson Family

Consultation Point

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

My objections are based solely on the need to limit the amount of commercial vehicles driving through both ends of the village, before a serious accident happens.

489290 Mr & Mrs R & J Lamb

SA2010585

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD25**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD25**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Assurance heavy traffic was banned from village - it may be acceptable.

372752 Mr Ralph Bradley

SA2010224

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact of additional traffic, loss of agricultural land.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26169 Ms J Young SA201061 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point Archaeological earthworks present. Archaeological evaluation is required
Number **ADD26**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD26**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

372262 Dr David Sheppard SA2010240 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point The absence of any supporting infra-structure increases in the village of Morton makes developments of this size untenable.
Number **ADD26**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD26**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010710 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point ADD 26 is very much in open countryside and would create an inappropriate extension away from the centre of the village.
Number **ADD26** ADD27, 28 and 29 are away from the centre of the village, which has limited facilities. We feel there are more suitable locations
Type: **site** for development in the district.
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD26**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

437989 Mr Clive Hillman SA201014 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point Concerned about traffic access onto A15 near existing problematic road junction at Morton crossroads. Number of school places
Number **ADD26** available.
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD26**
Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26279 Mr Richard Edwards SA2010623

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Larkfleet Group

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Support**

There are no technical constraints that would hinder delivery of the balance of the site. Supporting information to this effect can be provided to the Council if required. The land is wholly in the control of Larkfleet Homes and offers a deliverable development opportunity in the first 5 years of the plan period.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010771

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 9 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

472703 MR Stewart Chester SA2010127

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Too large and not the type of development comensurate with the rest of the hamlet.

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010187

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Adjoins Morton CA: suggest further information and/or a development brief to accompany this site allocation.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

437989 Mr Clive Hillman SA201016

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD26**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD26**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Concerned about access onto A15 near to existing problematic junction at Morton crossroads. Availability of school places at Morton primary school.

437989 Mr Clive Hillman SA201015

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD27**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD27**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Concerned about traffic movements onto existing local minor roads and cumulative numbers of vehicle movements.

26169 Ms J Young SA201062

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD27**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD27**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Lies within the historic settlement of Hanthorpe. Archaeological evaluation may be required

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010772

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD27**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD27**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 9 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

372752 Mr Ralph Bradley SA2010225 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD27**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD27**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

437989 Mr Clive Hillman SA201017 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD27**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD27**
Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010711 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Brown & Co
Consultation Point
Number **ADD27**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD27**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

ADD 26 is very much in open countryside and would create an inappropriate extension away from the centre of the village. ADD27, 28 and 29 are away from the centre of the village, which has limited facilities. We feel there are more suitable locations for development in the district.

472703 MR Stewart Chester SA2010126 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD27**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD27**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

372262 Dr David Sheppard SA2010242 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*
Consultation Point
Number **ADD27**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD27**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

A housing estate of this size is out of keeping with the rural nature of the village and will cause severe pressure on country lanes not built or maintained for such heavy useage.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

437989	Mr Clive Hillman	SA201018	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerned about access onto A15 near to existing problematic junction at Morton crossroads. Availability of school places at Morton primary school.
Number	ADD28		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD28		
Nature Of Response: Support with conditions			
<hr/>			
26104	Mr M Herbert	SA2010712	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Brown & Co			ADD 26 is very much in open countryside and would create an inappropriate extension away from the centre of the village. ADD27, 28 and 29 are away from the centre of the village, which has limited facilities. We feel there are more suitable locations for development in the district.
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD28		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD28		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			
497285	Mr Owen Walters	SA2010773	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Highways Agency			Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 9 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.
Consultation Point			
Number	ADD28		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD28		
Nature Of Response: Observations			
<hr/>			
372752	Mr Ralph Bradley	SA2010227	Consultee Comments (Summary)
Consultation Point			Concerns about impact of additional traffic and loss of greenfield land.
Number	ADD28		
Type:	site		
Title:			
SiteReference:	ADD28		
Nature Of Response: Object			
<hr/>			

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

372262 Dr David Sheppard SA2010243

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD28**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD28**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The development is oput of keeping with the nature of the village, cannot be supported by existing infra-structure and is positioned on a dangerous stretch of road.

26169 Ms J Young SA201063

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD28**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD28**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Lies within the historic settlement of Hanthorpe. Archaeological evaluation may be required

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010713

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD29**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD29**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

ADD 26 is very much in open countryside and would create an inappropriate extension away from the centre of the village. ADD27, 28 and 29 are away from the centre of the village, which has limited facilities. We feel there are more suitable locations for development in the district.

497285 Mr Owen Walters SA2010774

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Highways Agency

Consultation Point

Number **ADD29**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD29**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Limited potential for Sustainable Travel (No rail connections / limited bus services). Site approx 9 miles from SRN. Impact on SRN = Low.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

437989 Mr Clive Hillman SA201019 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD29**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD29**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerned about access onto small country road, used as 'rat-run', with no apparent room for improvements. Availability of school places at Morton primary school.

372262 Dr David Sheppard SA2010244 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD29**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD29**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

This proposal is out of keeping with the rural nature of Hanthorpe, requires significant increases in local infra-structure and will bring about unacceptable increases in traffic pressure on surrounding country lanes and road junctions.

26169 Ms J Young SA201064 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Heritage Lincolnshire
Consultation Point
Number **ADD29**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD29**
Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Lies within the historic settlement of Hanthorpe. Archaeological evaluation may be required

372752 Mr Ralph Bradley SA2010226 **Consultee Comments (Summary)**
Consultation Point
Number **ADD29**
Type: **site**
Title:
SiteReference: **ADD29**
Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about impact of additional traffic and loss of greenfield land.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

372928 Mr Tom Gilbert-Wool SA2010188

Consultee Comments (Summary)

English Heritage

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Close the South Witham CA: suggest further information to accompany this site allocation.

464534 Mr Christopher Pasco SA201021

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

The magnitude of proposals such as those already explored, should be exposed for what they are; which will have a damaging effect on all villagers and could manifest itself in the death of the community, its spirit. Additionally such proposals could have a damaging and lasting effect on house prices, village occupancy etc. Small business units could be encouraged but not at the detriment to village cohesion.

464756 Mrs Rebecca Kirton SA201022

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

OBJECT OBJECT OBJECT

464767 Mrs Laura Alcock SA201023

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Support with conditions**

Would not support this application should the aim be for a Factory Farm, as previously been applied for on this site. Would only support if this was non factory farm business.

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

26104 Mr M Herbert SA2010714 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Brown & Co

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This is distant to the village and probably an inappropriate location for further employment development.

466493 Mr Roger Hancock SA2010385 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Land already allocated for employment. Sensitive site - affect of land downstream should be considered.

372057 Mr Peter Beever SA2010382 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Rutland County Council

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

This site is close to the border with Rutland. The Council would wish to ensure that any employment development in this rural location would not impact adversely on Rutland in terms of additional traffic that might be generated on the surrounding road network and its potential impact on neighbouring villages and the landscape.

26169 Ms J Young SA201065 *Consultee Comments (Summary)*

Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Flint axe found within site. Archaeological evaluation may be required

Site Allocation and Policies DPD - Comments about Additional Sites August 2010

475706 Miss Clare Sterling SA2010327
Lincolnshire Wildlife Trust

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD30**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD30**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Site is adjacent to South Witham Verges nature reserve. Would expect an ecological survey to be carried out to determine whether site contains important or protected habitats or species. (Sec 40 of Natural Environment & Rural Communities Act 2006)

26169 Ms J Young SA201066
Heritage Lincolnshire

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD31**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD31**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

No recorded sites. No archaeological work required.

470058 Mr Bernard Champne SA201075
Thurlby Parish Council

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Consultation Point

Number **ADD31**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD31**

Nature Of Response: **Observations**

Not contentious site, but concerns about traffic impacts (site is on a bend). If site developed PC would expect S106 money for play area on nearby land.

463140 Mrs Catherine Hebbor SA20105
Consultation Point

Consultee Comments (Summary)

Number **ADD31**

Type: **site**

Title:

SiteReference: **ADD31**

Nature Of Response: **Object**

Concerns about access - site near nursery and working farm